GOVERNMENT OF INDIA LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT

THE INDIAN EVIDENUE ACT, 1872

(ACT I OF 1872)

AS MODIFIED UP TO THE 1ST JANUARY, 1989.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED

A , 0,	., , 1	for Government of India (Adaptation of Indian Laws) Order, 1937, as modified by the Government of India (Adaptation of Indian Laws) Supplementary
aama.		Order, 1987. Governor General in Council.

G G of Ludge mid Government of India

G of I Government, Gort . Inserted. Local Government

In LG Rep Subs

STATEMENT OF REPEALS AND AMENDMENT

1 L LLID TERRITE OF THE RES	a sout the second of second or
SETION 1, AMPNI)ED	Act 18 of 1919, 9 2 and Sch 1 Act 10 of 1927, 5 and Sch 1 Act 35 of 1934, 8 2 and Sch
Section 2, refealid Section 14, amended Section 15, aminded Section 26, aminded	Act 1 of 1938, 5 2 and Sch Act 3 of 1891, 1 Act 3 cf 1891, 2 Act 3 cf 1891 ; 3 * The Greenment of Indus (Adaptation of
SECTION 37, AMPNOTE SECTION 36, AMENDED SECTION 37, AMENDED	Indian Laws) O der 1937 Act 3 of 1891, 44 Act 18 cf 1972, 8 2. The Clovernmen* of India (Adaptation Indian Laws) Order, 1937 Act 10 of 1914, 81 2, 3 Sohs Land II. The Government of India Adaptation of Ludian Laws) Order, 1937
Section 41, amended Section 43, amended Section 45, amended	Act 18 of 1972 × 3 Act 3 of 18 11. 5 Act 18 of 1872 × 4 Act 5 of 1899, > 3 Act 3 of 1891, × 3
Section 54, substituted Section 55, amended Section 57, amended	Act 3 of 1891, s 7 Act 18 of 1872, s 5 Act 10 of 1927, s 2 and Sch I The Government of India (Adaptation of Indian Laws) Order, 1937
Section 66, Amended Section 73, Amended Section 73, Amended Sections 78, 79, 81, 83 and 85, Amended	Act 18 of 1872, s 6 Act 31 of 1926, s 2 Act 5 of 1899, s 3 . The Government of India (Adaptation of Indian Laws) Order, 1937
SECTION 86, AMENDED	Act 3 of 1891, s, 8 Act 5 of 1899, s 4 The Government of India (Adaptation of Indian Laws) Order, 1937 Act 18 of 1872, s 7
Shoulon 92, Amended Shoulon, 113, Amended Shoulon, 123, Amended Shoulon 125, Subspiritum Shoulon 126, Amended Shoulon 128, Amended	Act 18 of 1872, s, 8 Act 18 of 1872, s 9. The Government of Incha (Adaptation of Incha Laws) Green, 1937 Act 3 of 1887, Act 18 of 1872, s 10.

THE INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872 CONTENTS

PREMILIT

MEST

RELEVIACY OF FAUTS

· CHAPTER I -PRELIMINARY

SECTIONS

1 Short title

Extent

Commencement of Act

- 2 [Repealed]
- 3 Interpretation-clause
- 'May presume'
 - " Shall presume "
 - " Conclusive proof"

CHAPTER II -(); THE RELEVANCY OF FACTS

- 5 Evidence may be given of facts in issue and relevant facts
- 6 Relevancy of facts forming part of same transaction
- 7 Facts which are the occasion, cause or effect of facts in issue
- 8 Motive, preparation and previous or subsequent conduct
- 9 Facts necessary to explain or introduce relevant facts.
- 10. Things said or done by conspirator in reference to common design
- 11 When facts not otherwise relevant become relevant
- 12. In suits for damages, facts tending to enable Court to determine amount, are relevant
- 13. Facts relevant when right or custom is in question
- 14. Fac's chowing existence of state of mind, or of body or bodily
- 15 Facts bearing on question whether act was accidental or intentional.
- 16 Existence of course of business when relevant

ADMISSIONS.

- 17 Admission defined.
- 18. Admission-

by party to proceeding or his agent; by suitor in representative character, by party interested in subject-matter, by person from whom interest derived

- 19. Admissions by persons whose position must be proved as against
 - party to suif. 20 Admissions by persons expressly referred to by party to suit.

5.04

21. Proof of admissions against persons making them, and by or on their belief

£1872 : Act L

Secreova */

- 22 When oral admissions as to contents of documents are relevant,
- 23 Admission in civil cases when relevant
- •24 Confession caused by inducement, threat or promise, when irrelevant in criminal proceeding
- 25 Confession to police-officer not to be proved .
- 26 Confession by accused while in custody of police not to be proved against him.
- 27 How much of information received from accused may be proved
- Confession made after removal of impression caused by inducement threat or promise, relevant.
- Confession otherwise relevant not to become irrelevant because of promise of secrecy, etc.
- 30. Consideration of proved confession affecting person making it and others jointly under trial for same offence.
- 31 Admissions not conclusive proof, but may estop.

STATEMENT BY PERSONS WHO CANNOT BE CALLED AS WITNESSES

32. Cases in which statement of relevant fact by person who is dead or cannot be found, etc., is relevant

When it relates to cause of death;

or is made in course of business:

or against interest of maker;

or gives opinion as to public right or customs, or matters of general interest

or relates to existence of relationship:

or is made in will or deed relating to family affairs;

or in document relating to transaction mentioned in section 13.

clause (0); or is made by several persons, and expresses feelings relevant to matter in gression;

28 Relevancy of certain evidence for proving, in subsequent proceeding, the truth of facts therein stated.

STATEMENTS: MADE ENDER SPECIAL CHOTHSTANCES

- 34 Entiries in books of account when relevant
- 35. Relevancy of outry in public record, made in performance of duty.
- 36. Relevancy of statements in maps, charts and plans,
- 37. Relevancy of statement as to fact of public nature contained in certain Acts or notifications.
- 38. Relevancy of statements as to any law contained in law books.

 How much of a Statement is to be proved.
- 39. What evidence to be given when statement forms part of a conversation, document, book, or series of letters or papers.

SECTIONS

JUDGMENTS OF COURTS OF JUSTICE WHEN RELEVANT.

- 40 Previous judgments relevant to bar a second suit or trial
- 41 Relevancy of certain judgments in probate, etc., jurisdiction
- Relevancy and effect of judgments, orders or decrees, other than 42 those mentioned in section 41
- Judgments, etc., other than those mentioned in sections 40 to 42, 43 when relevant
- 44 Fraud or collusion in obtaining judgment, or incompetency of Court, may be proved

OPINIONS OF THIRD PERSONS WHEN RELEVANT

- Opinions of experts 45
- 46 Facts bearing upon opinions of experts
- 47 Opinion as to handwriting, when relevant
- 48 Optmon as to existence of right or custom, when relevant.
- 49 Opinion as to usages, tenets, etc., when relevant
- 50 Opinion on relationship, when relevant
- Grounds of opinoin, when relevant 51

CHARACTER WHEN RELEVANT.

- 52. In civil cases, character to prove conduct imputed, irrelevant.
- 53 In criminal cases previous good character relevant
- 54 Previous bad character not relevant, except in reply.
- 55. Character as affecting damages.

PART II.

ON PROOF.

CHAPTER III.—EACTS WEIGH NEED NOT BE PROVED.

- 56" Fact judicially noticeable need not be proved.
- 57. Facts of which Court must take judicial notice
- 58. Pacts admitted need not be proved.

CHAPTER IV. OF ORAL EVIDENCE.

- Proof of facts by oral evidence.
- 60 Oral evidence must be direct.

CHAPTER V OF DOOMERTAY EVENCE

- Proof of contents of decuments
- 62. Peimary evidence.
- 63. Secondary evidence
- 64. Proof of documents by

SECTIONS.

4.

- 65 Cases in which secondary evidence relating to documents may be given
- 66 Rules as to notice to produce
- 67 Proof of signature and handwriting of person alleged to have signed or written document produced
- 68 Proof of execution of document required by law to be attested
- 69 Proof where no attesting witness found
- 70 Admission of execution by party to attested document
- 71 Proof when attesting witness denies the execution
- 72 Proof of document not required by law to be attested
- 73. Comparison of signature, writing or seal with others, admitted or proved

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS

- 74 Public documents
- 75 Private documents
- 76. Certified copies of public documents
- 77 Proof of documents by production of certified copies
- 78 Proof of other official documents

PRESUMPTION AS TO DOCUMENTS.

- 79' Presumption as to genuineness of certified copies
- 80 Presumption as to documents produced as record of evidence.
- 81 Presumption as to Gazettes, newspapers, private Acts of Parhament, and other documents
- 82 Presumption as to document admissible in England without proof of seal or signature.
- 83 Presumption as to maps or plans made by authority of Government.
- 84 Prestription as to collections of laws and reports of decisions
- 85 Presumption as to powers-of-attorney
- 86 Presumption as to certified copies of foreign judicial records
- 87. Presumption as to books, maps and charts
- 88. Presumption as to telegraphic messages.
- 89. Presumption as to due execution, etc., of documents not produced
- 90 Presumption as to documents thirty years old.
- OF APPEND VI OF THE EXCENSION OF ORAL BY DOCUMENTARY BYDINGS.
 - 91 Evidence of terms of contracts, grants and other dispositions of property reduced to form of document.
 - 92. Exclusion of evidence of oral agreement.
 - 93. Exclusion of evidence to explicit or amend ambiguous document.
 - 94. Exclusion of evidence against application of doctment to existing facts.
 - 5. Evidence as to decument unmeaning in reference to existing facts,

Stations

- 96 Finds as a finite reason of language which can apply to one only
- to and the transfer of the many to set of facts,
- "He delle e to me e as a la constant se con
- the transfer ale transfer in the incollaboration
- 100 Say in 61 pt , cus little a Secret in act retaining to will's

PART III

PRODUCTION AND EFFECT OF EVIDENCE

CHAPTER VII -OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF

- 101 Burden of proof
- 102 On whom builden of proof lies
- 103 Burden of proof as to particular fact
- 101 Burden of proving fact to be proved to make evidence admissible
- 105 Burden of proving that case of accused comes within exceptions
- 106 Burden of proving fact e-pecially within knowledge
- 107 Burden of proving death of person known to have been alive within thirty year:
- 108 Builden of proving that person is alive who has not been heard of for seven years
- 109 Burden of proof as to relationship in the cases of partners, landlord and tenant, in neipal and agent
- 110. Burden of proof as to ownership
- 111 Proof of good faith in transactions where one party is in relation of active confidence.
- 112 Birth during marriage conclusive proof of legitimacy
- 113. Proof of cession of territory
- 114. Coprt may presume existence of certain facts.

CHAPTER VIII.—ESTOPPEL

- 115. Estoppel.
- 1.16. Estappel of tenant; and of licensee of person in possession.
- 117. Estoppel of screptor of bill of exchange, bailes or licensee.

CHAPTER IX -OF WITHESES.

- 118. Who may testify
- 119. Damb witnesses.
- 120. Parties to civil suit, and their wives or busbands.
 - Busheed or wife of verson under criminal trial

[1872 : Act I.

SECTIONS.

- 121 Judges and Magistrates
- 122 Communications during marriage
- 123 Evidence as to affairs of State
- 124 Official communications
- 125 Information as to commission of offences
- 126 Professional communications
- 127 Section 126 to apply to interpreters, etc
- 128 Privilege not "aived by volunteering evidence.
- 129 Confidential communications with legal advisers
- 130 Production of title-deeds of witness not a party
- 131 Production of documents which another person having possession could refuse to produce
- 132 Witness not excused from answering on ground that answer will criminate

Proviso.

- 133 Accomplice.
- 134 Number of witnesses

CHAPTER X.—OF THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

- 135. Order of production and examination of witnesses
- 136. Judge to decide as to admissibility of evidence,
- 137. Examination-in-chief, Cross-examination Re-examination,
- 138 Order of examinations
 Direction of re-examination.
 - 139 · Cross-examination of person called to produce a document.
- 140 Witnesses to character
- 141 Leading questions.
- 142. When they must not be asked,
- 143. When they may be asked.
 - 144 Evidence as to matters in writing.
- 4 145. Cross examination as to previous statements in writing.
- 146 Questions lawful in cross-examination
- 147. When witness to be compelled to answer
- 145 Court to decide when question shall be asked and when witness compelled to answer.
- 149. Question not to be asked without reasonable grounds.
- 150 Procedure of Court in case of question being asked without reasonable grounds
- Int. Indecent and standalous questions
- 152. Questions intended to insulf or annova-

SECTIONS.

- 153 Explasion of evidence to contradict answers to questions testing veracity
- 15# Que tion by party to his own witness
- 155 Impauling credit of witness
- 156 Questions lending to corroborate evidence of relevant fact, admissible
- 157 Fourier statements of witness may be proved to corrobotate later testimony as to same fact
- 1 M What matters may be proved in connection with proved statement televent under section 32 or 33
- 159 Relieshing memory.
 - When witness may use copy of document to refresh memory.
- 160 Testimony to facts stated in document mentioned in section 159
- 161 Rusht of adverse party as to writing used to refresh memory.
- 162 Production of documents Translation of documents
- 163 Guing, as evidence, of document called for and produced on notice
- 164 Vang, as evidence, of document production of which was refused on notice
- 165 Judge's power to put questions or order production
- 160 l'oner of jury or aversors to put questions

CHAPTER XI -Os improper Admission and rejection of Evidence.

167 No new trial for improper admiss on or rejection of evidence

SCHEDULE - [Repealed]

(Chapter I —Preliminary) ACT No 1 or 18721

[151h worth 1872]

The Note to a 1 At forth

Preamble. -Withham it is expedient to consolidate, define and amend the law of Evidence. It is hereby enacted as follows -

PART L

RELEVANCY OF FACTS.

CHAPTER I-PRELIMINARY.

I Short title—This Act may be called the Indian Evidence Act, 1872

Evient—It extends to the whole of Brit sh India², and applies to all

judicial proceedings in or before any Court, including Courts-martial,

§[other than Courts-martial convened under the Army Act,] (44 & 45

Vict, c 58), 4[the Naval Discipline Act (29 & 30 Vict., c 109), or that

Act as modified by the Indian Navv (Discipline) Act, 1934,] (XXXIV

of 1984) 5[or the Air Force Act] (7 Geo 5, c 51), but not to affidavits⁶

presented to any Court or officer, not to preceedings before an arbitrator.

¹ For Statement of Objects and Reasons, see Gazette of India, 1868, p. 1574, for the draft or preliminary Report of the Select Committee, dated 31st March, 1871, see ibid, 1871, Pt. V, p. 273, and for the second Report of the Select Committee, dated 30th January, 18.2, see ibid, 1872, Pt. V, p. 34, for discussions in Council, see ibid, 1868 Supplement, pp. 1060 and 1209, ib a, 1871, Extra Supplement, p. 12, and Supplement, p. 1641, and ib d, 1872, pp. 136 and 230

2 This Act has been declared to be in force in the Sontial Paiganas, by the Parganas Settlement Regulation (3 of 1872), Southal the Chittagong Hill-tracts, by the Chittagong Hill-tracts tion, 1900 (I' of 1900), in British Baluchistan by the Baluchistan Laws Regulation, 1913, (2 of 1913), s 3, in Regula-British Piploda by the Panth Piploda Laws Regulation, 1929 (1 of 1929), in the Khondmala District, by the Khandmala Lows Regulation, 1936 (4 of 1036), 5 3 and Sch, and in the Angul District, by the Angul Laws Regulation, 1936 (5 of 1936), s 3 and Sch, also by notification under s 3 (a) of the Schduled Districis Act, 1874 (14 of 1874) in the following Scheduled Districts, namely, the Districts of Hazaribagh, Lohardaga (now the Ranchi District-see Calculta Gazette, 1899, Pt I, p 44), and Manbhum and Pargans Dhalbhum and the Kolhan in the District of Singbhum-see Gazette of India, 1881, Pt. I, p. 504 [the Lohardaga or Ranchi District included at this time the Palamau District, separated in 1894]; and the Taran of the Province of Agrs. 10 d, 1876, Pt. I. p. 505; Ganjam and Vizagapatam—see Gazerte of India, 1899, Pt. I, p. 720.

1919) See 8 127 of the Army Act (44 and 45 Vict. c, 55).

*Ins by s 2 and Sch. of the Amending Act, 1934 (35 of 1934).

This by s 2 and Soli 1 of the Reporting and Amending Act, 1927 (10 of

6 As to practice relating to smidsvits, see the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Aut 5 of 1908), s. 30 (c) smid Sulf L Order XIX, see also the Code of Criminal Procedure 1898 (Act 5 of 1898), ssl 5519 and 559. A

(Chapter I Preliminary)

Commencement of Act -And it shall come into force on the first day of September, 1872

- 2 [Repeal of enactments] Rev by the Repealing Act, 1938 (I of 19387. \ 2 and Sch
- 3 Interpretation clause In the Act the following words and expressions are used in the following senses, unless a contrary intention appears from the confext -
- " Com. " Court ' meludes all Judges' and Magistrates', and all persons, except arbitrators legally authorized to take evidence

Fite' '-' Fact' means and includes-

- (1) any thing state of things or relation of things capable of being parecreed by the senses,
- (2) any mortal and or of high any person is conscious, Mustrelions
- (a) That there are certain objects arranged in certain order in a certain place, is a liet

(h) Then a room heard on saw som hing, is a fact

(c) The a man said certain words is a fact

(a) There a man holds a certain opinion, has a certain intention, acts in good faith or fraudulently, or uses a particular word in a particular sense, or is or was at a specified time conscious of a particular sensation, is a fact

(e) That a man has a certain reputation, is a fact

- "Relevant" -One fact is said to be relevant to another when the one is connected with the other in any of the ways referred to in the provisions of this Act relating to the relevancy of facts
- " Facts in issue "-The expression "facts in issue" means and includes-

any fact from which, either by itself or in connection with other facts, the existence, non-existence, nature or extent of any right, hability, or disability, asserted or denied in any suit or proceeding, necessarily follows

Explanation.—Whenever, under the provisions of the law for the time being in force relating to Civil Procedures, any Court records an issue of fact, the fact to be asserted or denied in the answer to such issue is a fact in issue.

Illustrations

A is accused of the murder of B.

At his trial the following facts may be in issue -

that A caused B's death,

that A intended to cause B's death,

that A had received grave aid sudden provocation from B;

that a st the time doing the act which caused B's death, was, by reason of misoundness of mind, incapable of knowing its nature.

Penal Code (Act 45 of 1800), a 19, and ; for definition of "District Judge", the General Clauses Aut. 1807 (16 of 1807), a 3 (15).

The General Clauses Aut. 1807 (16 of 1807), a 3 (15).

The General Clauses Act. 1807 (10 of 1807), a 3 (31), and Code of Criminal Procedure, 1808 (Act. 5 of 1808).

See now the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908); as to the settlement of sames see Schill. I, Order XIV.

(Chapter I - Preliminary Chapter II - Of the Relevancy of Facts)

"Document "-" Document "1 means any matter expressed or described upon any substance by means of letters, figures or marks, or by more than one of those means, intended to be used, or which may be used, for the purpose of recording that matter

Illustrations

A writing 1 is a document

2Words printed, lithographed or photographed are documents

A map or plan is a document .

An inscription on a metal plate or stone is a document

A caricature is a document

- " Evidence." 'Evidence " means and includes-
 - (1) all statements which the Court permits or requires to be made before it by witnesses, in relation to matters of fact under inquiry such statements are called oral evidence.
 - (2) all documents produced for the inspection of the Court, such documents are called documentary evidence
- "Picved"-A fact is said to be proved when, after considering the matters before it, the Court either believes it to exist, or considers its existence so probable that a prudent man ought, under the circumstances of the particular case, to act upon the supposition that it exists
- "Disproved."—A fact is said to be disproved when, after considering the matters before it, the Court either believes that it does not exist, or considers its non-existence so probable that a prudent man ought, under the erroumstances of the particular case, to act upon the supposition that it does not exist
- Not proved "-A fact is said not to be proved when it is neither proved nor disproved.
- 4" May presume".—Whenever it is provided by this Act that the Court may presume a fact, it may either regard such fact as proved, unless and until it is disproved, or may call for proof of it.
- Shall be estime. Whenever it is directed by this Act that the Court shall presume a fact, it shall regard such fact as proved, unless and until it is disproved :
- Conclusive proof."-When one fact is declared by this Act to be conclusive proof of another, the Court shall, on proof of the one fact, regard the other as proved and shall not allow evidence to be given for the purpose of disproving it.

- CHAPTED II.—OF THE RELEVANCE OF FACES.

 5. Evidence may be given of facts in issue and velevant facts.—Evidence may be given in any suit or proceeding of the existence of non-existence of every fact in issue and of such other facts as are hereinafter feclared to be relevant, and of no others.
- 1°Cf. s. 29 of the Indian Penal Code (Act 45 of 1860), and a 5 (16) of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (10 of 1897).
- 10 of 1897)

Explanation -This section shall not enable any person to give vidence of a fact which he is disentitled to prove by any provision of the law for the time being in force relating to Civil Procedure 1

Illustrations

(a) A is tried for the murder of B by beating him with a club with the intention of eausing his death

At A's trial the following facts are in issue -

A's beating B with the club.

A's causing B's death by such beating.

A's intention to cause B's death

- (b) A suitor does not bring with him, and have in readiness for production at the first hearing of the case, a bond on which he relies This section does not enable him to produce the bond or prove its contents at a subsequent stage of the proceedings, otherwise than in accordance with the conditions prescribed by the Code of Civil Procedure 1
- 6. Relevancy of facts forming part of same transaction Facts which, though not in issue, are so connected with a fact in issue as to form part of the same transaction, are relevant, whether they occurred at the same time and place or at different times and places

Illustrations

- (a) A is accused of the murder of B by beating him. Whatever was said or done by A or B or the by-standers at the beating, or so shortly before or after it as to form part of the transaction, is a relevant fact.
- (b) A is accused of waging was against the Queen by taking part in an armed insurrection in which property is destroyed, troops are attacked, and goals are broken open. The occurrence of these facts is relevant, as forming part of the general transaction, though A may not have been present at all of them
- (c) A sues B for a libel contained in a letter forming part of a correspondence Letters between the parties relating to the subject out of which the libel arose, and forming part of the correspondence in-which it is contained, are relevant facts, though they do not contain the libel itself
- (d) The question is, whether certain goods ordered from B were delivered The goods were delivered to several intermediate persons successively Each delivery is a relevant fact
- 7. Facts which are the occasion, cause or effect of facts in issue-Facts which are the occasion, cause or effect, immediate or otherwise, or relevant facts, or facts in issue, or which constitute the state of things under which they happened; or which afforded an opportunity for their cocurrence or transaction, are relevant.

Illustrations.
(a) The question is, whether A robbed B.

The facts that shortly before the robbery, B went to a fair with money in his possession, and that he showed it or mentioned the fact that he had it, to third persons, are relevant

1 See now the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Act 5 of 1908

(b) The question is, whether A murdered B

Marks on the ground, produced by a struggle at or near the place where the murder was committed, are relevant facts

(c) The question is, whether A poisoned B

The state of B's health before the symptoms ascribed to poison, and habits of B, known to A, which afforded an opportunity for the administration of poison, are relevant facts

8 Motive, preparation and previous or subsequent conduct - Any fact is relevant which shows or constitutes a motive or preparation for any fact in issue or relevant fact

The conduct of any party, or of any agent to any party, to any suit or proceeding, in reference to such suit or proceeding, or in reference to any fact in issue therein or relevant thereto, and the conduct of any person an offence against whom is the subject of any proceeding, is relevant, if such conduct influences or is influenced by any fact in issue or relevant fact, and whether it was previous or subsequent thereto

Explanation 1—The word "conduct" in this section does not include statements, unless those statements accompany and explain acts other than statement, but this explanation is not to effect the relevancy of statements under any other section of this Act

Explanation 2—When the conduct of any person is relevant, any statement made to him or in his presence and hearing, which affects such conduct, is relevant

Illustrations.

(a) A is tried for the murder of B

The facts that A murdered C, that B knew that A had murdered C, and that B had tried to extort money from A by threatening to make his knowledge public, are relevant

(b) A sues B upon a hand for the payment of money B denres the making of the bond. 1 6 43

The fact that at the time when the bond was alleged to be made. B required money for a particular purpose, is relevant.

(a) A is tried for the murder of B by poison.

The fact, that before the death of B, A procured poison similar to that which was administered to B is relevant

(d) The question is whether a certain document is the will of A.

The facts that not long before the date of the alleged will A made inquiry into matters to which the provisions of the alleged will relate, that he consulted values in reference to making the will and that he caused drafts of other wills to be prepared of which he did not approve are relevant.

(a) A is accused of a crime.

The facts that either before or at the time of, or after the alleged truns, A provided evidence which would fend to give to the facts of the case an appearance favourable to himself on that he destroyed or conceated evidence, or prevented the presence or procured the absence of persons who might have been witnesses, or subcrucial persons to give talse evidence respecting it, are relevant.

(f) The question is whether A robbed B

The facts that, after B was robbed, C said in A's presence—" the police are coming to look for the man who robbed B ", and that immediately afterwards A ran away, are relevant

(g) The question is whether A owes B rupees 10,000

The facts that A asked C to lend him money, and that D said to C in A's presence and hearing-" I advise you not to trust A, for he owes B 10,000 rupees," and that A went away without making any answer, are relevant facts

(h) The question is, whether A committed a crime

The fact that A absconded after receiving a letter warning him that inquary was being made for the criminal, and the contents of the letter, are relevant

(4) A is accused of a crime

The facts that, after the commission of the alleged crime, he absconded. or was in possession of property or the proceeds of property acquired by the orime, or attempted to concent things which were or might have been used in committing it, are relevant.

(1) The question is whether A was ravished

The facts that, shortly after the alleged rape, she made a complaint relating to the crime, the circumstances under which, and the terms in which, the complaint was made, are relevant

The fact that, without making a complaint, she said that she had been ravished is not relevant as conduct under this section, though it may be relevant as a dying declaration under section 32, clause (1), or as corroborative evidence under section 157.

(k) The question is, whether A was robbed ...

The fact that, soon after the alleged robbery, he made a complaint relating to the offence, the circumstances under which, and the terms in which, the complaint was made, are relevant.

The fact that he said he had been robbed without making any complaint, is not relevant, as conduct under this section, though it may be relevant as a dying declaration under section 32, clause (1), or as corroborative evidence under section 15?

9 Facts recessary to explain or introduce relevant facts — Facts necessary to explain of introduce a fact in issue or relevant fact, or which, support or rebut an inference suggested by a fact in issue or relevant fact, or which establish the identity of any thing or person whose identity is relevant or fix the time or place at which any fact in issue or reference fact happened, or which show the relation of parties by whom any such fact was transacted, are relevant in so far as they are necessary for that purpose

Illustrations.

(a) The custom is whether a given document is the will of A.

The state of A's property and of his family at the date of the alleged will may be retayant that:

(b) A rises B for a libbi imputing disgregating conduct to A. B affirms that the matter alleged to be libelious is brue.

[10] A. Sies B. For a libbi imputing disgregating conduct to A. B affirms that the matter alleged to be libelious is brue.

The position and relations of the parties at the time when the libel was published may be relevant facts as introductory to the facts in issue

The particulars of a dispute between A and B about a matter unconnected with the alleged libel are rirclevant, though the tact that there was a dispute may be relevant if it affected the relations between A and B .

(c) A is accused of a crime

The fact that, soon after the commission of the crime. A abscended from his house, is relevant under section 8, as conduct subsequent to and affected by facis in issue

The fact that at the time when he left home he had sudden and urgent business at the place to which he went, is relevant, as tending to explain the fact that he left home suddenly

The details of the business on which he left are not relevant, except in so far as they are necessary to show that the business was sudden and nigent

- (d) A sues B for inducing C to break a contract of service made by him with A C, on leaving A's service, says to A—"I I am leaving you because B has made me a better offer " This statement is relevant fact as explanatory of "I's conduct, which is relevant as a fact in issue.
- (e) A, accused of theft, is seen to give the stolen property to B, who is seen to give it to A's wife. B says as he delivers it-" A says you are to hide this". B's statement is relevant as explanatory of a fact which is part of the transaction
- (1) A is med for a mot and is proved to have marched at the head of a mob. The cries of the mob are relevant as explanatory of the nature of the transaction,
- 10. Things said or done by conspirator in reference to common design. Where there is reasonable ground to believe that two or more persons have conspired together to commit an offence or an actionable wrong, anything said done or written by any one of such persons in reference to their common intention, after the time when such intention was first entertained by any one of them, is a relevant fact as against each of the persons believed to be so conspiring, as well for the purpose of proving the existence of the conspiracy as for the purpose of showing that any such person was a party to it. Thistrations

Reasonable ground exists for believing that A has joined in a conspiracy to wage war against the Queen

The facts that B procured arms in Europe for the purpose of the conspiracy, Collected money in Calculta for a like object, D persuaded persons to join the conspiracy in Bombay, Il published writings advocating the object in view at Agra, and P transmitted from Delhi to G at Cabul the money, which C had collected at Calentia, and the contents of a letter written by H giving an account of the conspiracy, are each relevant, both to prove the existence of the conspiracy, and to prove A's complicity in it, although he may have been ignorant of all of them, and although the persons by whom they were done were strangers to him and although they may have taken place before he joined like conspiracy or after he left it.

- 11 When lacts not otherwise relevant become relevant -- Facts not otherwise relevant are relevant-
 - (1) if they are inconsistent with any fact in issue of relevant fact,
- (2) it by themselves of in connection with office it strev make the existence or non-existence of any fact in issue of relevant fact highly probable or improbable

Illustrations

(a) The question is whether A committed a crime at Calcuita on a certain day

The fut that, on that day, I was at Lahore is relevant

The fact that, near the time when the crime was committed, A was at a distance from the place where it was committed, which would render it highly improbable, though not impossible, that he committed it, is icleyant

(b) The question is, whether A committed a crime

'The circumstances are such that the crime must have been committed either by A, B, C, or D. Every last which shows that the crime could have been committed by no one else and that it was not committed by either B, C or D, is relevant

- 12. In only to damages, justs tending to enable Court to determine amount are relevant.—In suits in which damages are claimed any fact which will enable the Court to determine the amount of damages which ought to be awarded, is relevant.
- 18 Facts relevant when right or custom is in question.—Where the question is as to the existence of any right or custom, the following facts are relevant.—
- (a) any transaction by which the right or custom in question was created, claimed, modified, recognized, asserted or denied, or which was inconsistent with its existence
- (b) particular instances in which the right or custom was claimed, recognized or exercised, or in which its exercise was disputed, asserted or departed from

Illustrations

The question is whether A has a right to a fishery. A deed conferring the fishery on A's receions, a mortgage of the fishery by A's fisher and particular grant of the fishery by A's father preconcilable with the mortgage, particular instances in which A's father energised the right, or in which the exercise of the right was stopped by A's neighbours, are relevant facts.

14. Facts slowing existence of state of mind, or of body, or bodily feeling. Pacts showing the existence of any state of mind, such as intention, knowledge, good faith, negligence, rashness, ill-will or good-will towards any particular person, or showing the existence of any state of body or bodily feeling are relevant, when the existence of any such state of mind or body or bodily feeling is in issue or relevant.

**The planetion I — A fact relevant as showing the existence of a relevant state of mind must show that the state of mind exists, not generally, but in reference to the particular matter in question.

Stibs, by s. 1. () of the Indian Evidence Act (1872) American Act. 1891 (8 of 1891), for the priginal Explanation.

Explanation 2 -- But where, upon the trial of a person accused of an offence, the previous commission by the accused of an offence is relevant within the meaning of this section, the previous conviction of such person shall also be a relevant fact 1]

Illustrations

(a) A is accused of receiving stolen goods knowing them to be stolen proved that he was in possession of a particular stolen article

The fact that, at the same time, he was in possession of many other stolen articles is relevant, as tending to show that he knew each and all of the article of which he was in possession to be stolen.

²[(b) A is accused of fraudulently delivering to another person a counterfeit com which at the time when he delivered it, he knew to be counterfeit.

The fact that, at the time of its delivery, A was possessed of a number of other proces of counterfeit com is relevant

The fact that A had been previously convicted of delivering to another person as genuine a counterfeit com knowing at to be counterfeit is relevant.]

- (a) A sues B for deninge done by a dog of B's, which B knew to be ferocious The facts that the dog had previously bitten X, Y, and Z, and that they had made complaints to B, are relevant
- (d) The prestion is whether A, the acceptor of a bill of exchange,

The fact that A had recepted other bills drawn in the same manner before they could have been transmitted to him by the payer if the payer had been a real person, is relevant as showing that a knew that the payer was a neutrious person.

(a) A is accused of defaming B by publishing an imputation, intended to laim the reputation of B.

The fact of previous publications by A respecting B showing Ill will on the part of A towards B is relevant, as proving A's intention to harm B's reputation

by the predentar rublication in question.

The facts that there was no previous quarrel between A and B, and that A repended the restor complained of as he heard the relevant, as showing that A dil not intend to barm the reputation of B

A diffusion to have the repulsion of B.

(19) A is seed B for its intention to recombing to B that C was solven.

whereby B, being induce to trust C. in was ansolvent, sufficient loss,

The fact that at the time when A represented C to be solvent. C supposed to be solvent by his neighbours and by persons dealing with him relevant, as showing that A made the representation in good faith.

(a) A is such by B for the price of your done by B, pped a house of which is owner, by the order of C a scoutractor.

A's defence is that B's contract was with C

¹⁸se the Code of Criminal Lincedure, 1893. (Act 5 of 1898); s. 311. ²⁹nbs. by s. 1 (3) of the Indian Evidence Act. (1872). Amendment. (6 of 1894), for the ongainal *idiascation*. (b)

The fact that A pad (' for the work in question is iclevant, as proving that A did, in good faith, make over to C the min prement of the work in question, so that I was in a position to contract with I are the or accord, and not as agent ior A

(h) A is accused of the dishonest misappropriation of property which he had found, and the question is whether, when he appropriated it, he believed in good faith that the real owner could not be found

The fact that public notice of the loss of the property had been given in the place where A was, is relevant, as showing that A did not in good faith believe that the real owner of the property could not be found

The fact that A knew, or had reason to believe, that the notice was given fraudulently by C, who had heard of the loss of the property and wished to set up a false claim to it, is relevant, as showing that the fact that A knew of the notice did not disprove A's good faith

(1) A is charged with shooting at B with intent to kill him. In order to show A's intent the fact of A's having previously shot at B may be proved.

- (1) A is charged with sending threatening letters to B Threatening letters previously sent by A to B may be proved, as showing the intention of the
- (k) The question is, whether A has been guilty of cruelty towards B, ans wife

Expressions of their feeling towards each other shortly before or after the alleged cruelty are relevant facts

(i) The question is whether A's death was caused by poison Statements made by A during his illness as to his symptoms are relevant facts.

(m) The question is, what was the state of A's health at the time an assubance on his life was effected.

Statements made by A as to the state of his health at or near the times in question are relevant facts.

(n) A sues B for negligence in providing him with a carriage for hire not reasonably fit for use, whereby A was injured

The fact that B's attention was drawn on other occasions to the defect -that particular corringe is relevant,

The fact that It was habitually negligent about the carriages which he let to hire is irrelevant.

for A is tried for the minder of B to intentionally shooting him dead.

The fact that a on other occasions shot at B is relevant as showing his tontion to shoot B:

The fact that A was in the habit of shooting, at people with intent

(p) A is trial for a crime.

The tack that he said something indicating an intention to commit that particular crime is relevant.

The fact that he said something indicating a general disposition to counsit mes of that class is irrelevant.

15 Facts bearing on question whether act was accidental in intentronal -When there is a question whether an act was accidental or inten tional, 1[or done with a particular knowledge or intentior], the fact that such act formed part of a series of similar occurrences, in each of which the person doing the act was concerned, is relevant .

Illustrations

(a) A is accused of burning down his house in order to obtain money for which it is insured

The facts that A lived in several houses successively each of which he insured, in each of which a fire occurred, and after each of which fires A received payment from a different insurance office, are relevant, as tending to show that the fires were not accidental

(b) A is employed to receive money from the debtors of B It is A'a duty to make entries in a book showing the amounts received by him. He makes an entry showing that on a particular occasion he received less than he really did receiv.

The questio, is, whether this false entry was accidental or intentional

The facts that other entries made by A in the same book are false, and that the false entry s in each case in favour of A, are relevant

(c) A is accused of fraudulently delivering to B a counterfeit rupce

The question is, whether the delivery of the rupee was accidental

The facts that, soon before or soon after the delivery to B, A delivered counterfort rupees to C, D and E are relevant, as showing that the delivery to-B was not aecidental

16 Existence of course of business when relevant - When there is a question whether a particular act was done, the existence of any course of business, according to which it naturally would have been done, is a relevant fact 4 1 1 4

Illustrations

The question is, whether a particular letter was despatched

The facts that it was the ordinary course of business for all letters put in a sentain place to be carried to the post, and that that particular letter was put in that place are relevant

The mestion is whether a particular letter reached A. The facts that it was posted in dee course, and was not returned through the Dead Letter Office,

Admissions 17 Admission defined An admission is a statement oral or decommentary which suggests any intercence as to any fact in issue or reterent fact and which is made by any of the persons and under the arrunny stances, herematter mentioned.

18. Admission by party to proceeding or his agent "Statements made by a party to the proceeding, or by an egent to any such party

ng by \$ 2 of the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Amendment Act.

whom the Court rounds, under the encumstances of the case, as xpressly or impliedly authorized by k in to make them, are admissions

Py suitor in representative character—Statements made by parties to suits sung of suid in a representative character, are not admissions, unless they were made while the party making them held that character

Statements made by-

- (1) Bu party interested in subject-matter Persons who have any proprietary or pecuniary interest in the subject-matter of the proceeding, and who make the statement in their character of persons so interested,
- (2) By person from whom interest derived Persons from whom the parties to the suit have derived their interest in the subject-matter of the suit, are admissions, if they are made during the continuance of the interest of the persons making the statements
- 19 Admissions by persons whose position must be proved as against party to sust -Statements made by persons whose position or liability it is necessary to prove as against any party to the suit, are admissions, if such statements would be relevant as against such persons in relation to such position or hability in a suit brought by or against them, and they are made whilst the person making them occupies such position or is subject to such habila

Illustration

A undertakes to collect rents for B

B suce A for not collecting rent due from C to B.

A denies that rent was due from C to B

A statement by C that he owed B rent is an admission, and is a relevant fact as against A, if A denies that C did owe rent to B,

20. Advissions by persons expressly referred to by party to suit -Statements made by persons to whom a party to the suit has expressly referred for information in reference to a matter in dispute are admissions.

Illustration.

The question is whether a horse sold by A to B is sound.

admission

- admission

 on Proof of admissions against persons making them, and by or on their behalf.—Admissions or relevant and may be proved as against the person who makes them as his representative in interest; but they cannot be preved to are an obtain of the person who makes them or by his representative in alterest, except to the following cases:—
- (1) An admission may be proved by on on behalf of the person mak ing it, when it is of such a nature that, if the person making it were density would be relevant as between third persons under section 32.

- (2) An admission may be proved by or on behalf of the person making it, when it consists of a statement of the existence of any state of mind or body, relevant or in issue made at or about the time when such state of mind or body existed, and is accompanied by conduct rendering its falsehood improbable
- (3) An admission may be proved by or on behalf of the person making it, if it is relevant otherwise than as an admission

Illustrations_

(a) The question between A and B is, whether a certain deed is or is not forged. A affirms that it is genuine, B that it is forged

A may prove a sistement by B that the deed is genuine, and B may prove a statement by A that the deed is forged, but A cannot prove a statement by himself that the deed is genuine, nor can B prove a statement by himself that the deed is forged is forged.

(b) A, the captain of a ship, is tried for casting her away.

Evidence is given to show that the ship was taken out of her proper course.

A produces a book kept by him in the ordinary course of his business showing observations allowed to have been taken by him from day to day, and indicating that the ship was not taken out of her proper course. A may prove these statements, because they would be admissible between third parties, if he were dead, under section 32, chause (2).

(c) A is accused of a crune committed by him at Calcutta.

He produces a letter written by hunself and dated at Lahore on that day, and bearing the Lahore post mark of that day.

The statement in the date of the letter is admissible, because, if A were dead, it would be admissible under section 32, clause (2).

(d) A is accused of receiving stolen goods knowing them to be stolen.

He offers to prove that he refused to sell them below their value

A may prove these statements, though they are admissions, because they are explanatory of conduct influenced by facts in issue

which he knew to be counterfeit.

He office to prove that he asked a skilful person to examine the coin as he doubted whether it was counterfeit or not, and that that person did examine it and told him it was genuine.

A may prove these facts for the reasons stated in the last preceding interested.

- 22. When grat admissions as to contents of documents are relevant. Oral admissions as to the contents of a document are not relevant unless and until the party proposing to prove them shows that he is autitled to give secondary evidence of the contents of such document under the roles agreementer continued, in others the genuineness of a document produced to question.
- 28. Admissions in civil cases when relevant—In eavil cases no admission is relevant, if it is made either tipes an express condition that evidence of it is not to be given or under circumstances from which the Court can infer that the parties agreed together that evidence of it should not be given.

Explanation - Nothing in this section shall be taken to exempt any barristet, pleader, attorney or vakil from giving evidence of any matter of which he may be compelled to give evidence under section 126

24 Conjugation caused by inducement, threat or promise, when wrelevant in criminal moceeding -A confession made by an accused person is arrelevant in a commal proceeding, if the making of the confession appears to the Court to have been caused by any inducement, threat promise having reference to the charge against the accused person, proceeding from a person in authority and sufficient, in the opinion of Court, to give the accused person grounds which would appear to reasonable for supposing that by making it he would gain any advantage er avoid any evil of a temporal nature in reference to the proceedings against him.

25. Confession to police-officer not to be proved.—No confession made to a police-officer2 shall be proved as against a person accused of any effence,

26 Confession by accused while in custody of police not to be proved against him -No confession made by any person whilst he is in the custody of a police-officer, unless it be made in the immediate pressure of Magistrate, shall be proved as against such person

* Emplanation - In this section "Magistrate" does not include the head of a village discharging magisterial functions in the Presidency of Fort St. George ** or elsewhere, unless such headman is Fort St. George 54 * Magistrate exercising the powers of a Magistrate under the Code of (Fiminal Procedure, 1881) X of 1882.

27. How much of information received from accused may be prob-ed. Provided that, when any fact is deposed to as discovered in conse-quence or information received from a person accused of any offence, in the enstudy of a police officer, so much of such information, whether itamounts to a confession or not, as relates distinctly to the fact thereby discovered, may be proved.

28. Confession made after removal of impression caused by induce ment, threat or promise releasant. If such a confession as is referred to in section 24 is made after the impression caused by any such inducement. threat or promise has, in the opinion of the Court, been fully removed, it

For probabilism of such inducements, at 8, 266 s, 343 of the Code of Crupt

nat Procedure, 1898 (Act 5 of 1898).

**As to statements trade to a police-others revestigating a case of a 162 of the Coroner has been declared to be a Magistrate for the purposes of this cection, see a 20 of the Coroners Act 1871 (4 of 1871).

The by's 3 of the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Americanent Act, 1891 (5

The words "or M Burma" rep. by the A. O.

of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (Act 5 of 1898)

- 29 Confession otherwise relevant not to become arrelevant because of promise of secreta, elc - If such a confession is otherwise relevant, it does not become irrelevant merely because it was made under a promise of secrecy, or in consequence of a deception practised on the accessed person for the purpose of obtaining it, or when he was drunk or because it was made in answer to questions which he need not have answered, whatever may have been the form of those questions, or because he was not warned, that he was not bound to make such confession, and that evidence of it might be given against him
- 30 Consideration of proved confession affecting person making it and others nently under trial for same offence - When more persons than one are being tried jointly for the same offence, and a confession made by one of such persons affecting himself and some other of such persons is proved, the Court may take into consideration such confession as against such other person as well as against the person who makes such confession
- 1 [Explanation -- "Offence", as used in this section, includes the abetment of, or attempt to commit, the offence 2]
- (a) A and B are jointly filed for the murder of C. It is proved that A said "B and I murdered C". The Court may consider the effect of this confession as against B.
- (b) A is on his trial for the murder of C There is evidence to show that O was murdered by A and B, and that B said-" A and I murdered ("

This statement may not be taken into consideration by the Court against A, as B is not being jointly tried.

31 Admissions not conclusive proof, but may estop -Admissions are not conclusive proof of the matters admitted but they may operate as estoppels under the provisions hereinafter contained

STATEMENTS BY PERSONS WHO CANNOT BE CALLED AS WITNESSES

33. Cases in which statement of nelevant fact by person who is double er cannot be found, etc., is relevant. Statements, written or verbal, of relevant facts made by a person who is dead, or who cannot be found, or who has become incapable of giving evidence, or whose attendance canand be produced without an amount of delay or expense which under the discumstances of the case appears to the Court unreasonable, are them-

solves relevant facts in the following cases.

(1) When it octates to cause of death.—When the satement is made by a person as to the cause of his death, or as to any of the circumstances of the transaction which resulted in his death in cases in which the cause

of the person's death comes into question.

Such statements are relevant whether the person who made them was or was not, at the time when they were made under expectation of death, and whatever may be the nature of the proceeding in which the cause of his death comes into question.

(3) Or is made in course of business. When the statement was made by such person in the ordinary course of business, and in particular

- Fins by s. 4 of the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Amendment Act, 1891 (8

2 Cf. Explanation 4 to s. 108 of the Indian Penal Code (Act. 45 of 1860)

when it consists of any entry or memorandum made by him in books kept in the ordinary course of business, or in the discharge of professional duty, or or on ach a whedgment written or signed by him of the receipt of money goods, securities or property of any kind, or of a document used in commerce vitten or signed by him, or of the date of a letter or other document to make dated, written or signed by him

- (1) in agreest interest of maker When the statement is against the picunials or proprietary interest of the person making it, or when, if time, it would expose him or would have exposed him to a criminal prosecution of to a suit for damages
- (4) Or gue, ammon as to public right or custom, or matters of general interest -When the statement gives the opinion of any such person, as to the existence of any public right or custom or matter of public or general interest of the existence of which, if it existed, he would have been likely to be sware, and when such statement was made before any controvers, as to such right, custom or matter has arisen
- (5) relates to existence of relationship When the statement relates to the existence of any relationship 1 [by blood, marriage or adoption] between persons as to whose relationship 1[by blood, marriage or adoption] the person making the statement had special means of knowledge, and when the statement was made before the question in dispute was raised
- (6) Or is made in will or deed relating to family affairs -- When the statement relates to the existence of any relationship 1[by blood, marriage or adoption! between persons deceased, and is made in any will or deed relating to the affairs of the lamily to which any such deceased person belonged, or in any family pedigree, or upon any tombstone, family portrait or other thing on which such statements are usually made, and when such statement was made before the question in dispute was rais-

(7) Or in document relating to transaction mentioned in section 13, plause (a). - When the statement is contained in any deed will or other document which relates to any such transaction as is mentioned in section

19; clause (a). (B) Or is made by several persons and expresses feelings relevant to matter in question. When the statement was made by a number of persons, and expressed feelings or impressions on their part relevant to the matter in question.

Titustrations

for the unvelon a shother A was murdered by B; or

A dies of minties received in a transmetion in the conrespond which sharmaning. The question is whether she was ravished by B br

The question of better A was killed by B pudde such encountaines that a suit would be against B.

Sistemate these by Alas to the cause of his or her death, ectaining assuce-

lively to the ratificity the highs; and the actionable group under consideration are relevant facts,

Indian Evidence Act Amendment Act (18 of 1872

[1872 : Act T.

(Chapter II -Of the Relevancy of Facts ?

(b) The question is as to the date of A's bith

An entry in the diary of a deceased surgeon regularly light in the course of business, still in that, on a given day he afterded A's mother and delivered her of a son, is a relevant fact

(c) The que tion is, whether A was in Calcutta on a given day

A statement in the diary of a deceased solicitor, regularly kent in the course of business, that on a given day the solicitor attended A at a place mentroned, in Calcutta for the purpose of conferring with him upon specified busimess, is a relevant fact

(d) The question is, whether a ship sailed from Bombay harbour given day.

A letter written by a deceased member of a merchant's firm by which she was chartered to their correspondents in London, to whom the cargo was consigned, stating that the ship sailed on a given day from Bombay harbour, is relevant fact.

(e) The question is, whether rent was paid to A for certain land,

A letter from A's deceased agent to A saying that he had received the resit on A's account and held it at A's orders, is a relevant fact,

(f) The question is whether A and B were legally married.

The statement of a deceased clergyman that he married them under such eircumstances that the celebration would be a crime, is relevant

- (g) The question is, whether A, a person who cannot be found, wrote letter on a certain day. The fact that a letter written by him is dated on that day is relevant.
 - (A) The question is, what was the cause of the wreck of a ship,

A protest made by the Cantain, whose attendance cannot be precured, is a relevant fact.

(i) The question is, whether a given road is a public way,

- A statement by A. a deceased headman of the village that the road miblir, is a relevant fact (a) The question is what was the price of grain on a centain day in a parti-
- cular market. A statement of the price made by a deceased banya in the promary source of his business is a relevant fact.
 - (k) The question is whether A who is dead was the father of R
 - A statement by A that B was his son, is a relevant fact
 - (1) The question is, what was the date of the birth of A.

A letter from A a decoased father to a friend, amounting the birth of A or a given day, is a relevant fact,

(m) The question is, whether, and when A and B were married to

An entry in a memorandum book by C. the decreased father of PV of his despiter's marriage with A on a given date, is a relevant fact.

(a) A suce B for libel expressed in a painted caracature exposed is a shop window. The question is as to the similarity of the carnature and its libelious character. The remarks of a crowd of spectators on these points may be proved

(Chapter III -Facts which need not be proved)

33 Relevancy of certain evidence for proving, in subsequent proceeding, the truth of facts therein stated—Evidence given by a writess in a judicial proceeding, or before any person authorized by law to take it, is retevant for the purpose of proving, in a subsequent judicial proceeding, or in a later stage of the same judicial proceeding, the truth of the facts which it states, when the witness is dead or cannot be round, or is meapable of giving evidence, or is kept out of the way by the adverse party, or if his presence cannot be obtained without an amount of delay of expense which, under the circumstances of the case, the Court considers unreasonable.

Provided-

that the proceeding was between the same parties or their representatives in interest,

that the adverse party in the proceeding had the right and opportunity to cross examine,

that the questions in issue were substantially the same in the first as in the second proceeding

Explanation—A criminal trial or inquiry shall be deemed to be a proceeding between the prosecutor and the accused within the incaning of this section

34. Entries in books of account when relevant—"Entries in books of account, regularly kept in the course of business, are relevant when ever they refer to a matter into which the Court has to inquire, but such statements sha'l not alone be sufficient evidence to charge any person with liability

Illustrations

A sucs B for Rs. 1,000, and shows entries in his amount books showing B to be indebted to him to this amount. The entries are relevant, but are not sufficient, without other evidence, to prove the debt

35 Relevancy of entry in public record made in performance of dute. An entry in any public of other official book, register or record, staring a fact in issue or relevant fact and made by a public servant in the discharge of his official duty, or by any other person in performance of a daty specially enjoined by the law of the country in which such twole, register or record is leaft, is itself a relevant fact.

36 Reterriney of statements in maps, that is and plans.—Statements of facts in issue or relevant facts, made in published maps or chards generally offered for audits sale or in maps or plans made under the number of the Coverament is Reitish India, as to matters usually authority of the Coverament in Reitish India, as to matters usually represented or stated in such maps, sharts or plans, are themselves represented or stated in such maps.

Grider 171 and 5 to the Curtae of Call Procedure, 1908 (Act 5 of 1908) Act and the admired of Call Procedure, 1908 (Act 5 of 1908) Act admired five admired in Engineers brooks, we act the same of th

2 Subs. by the A. C. Inc. Govi.

37 Relieurcy of statement as to fact of public nature contained in certain Acts or notifications - When the Court has to form an opinion as to the existence of any fact of a public nature, any statement of it, made in a recital contained in any Act of Parliament, of in any 1 Act of the Contral Tegislature] or of [any other legislative authority in British India constituted by any laws for the time being in force or in a Government notification of notification by the Crown Representative appealing in the Official Gazette or in any printed paper purporting to be the London Gazette of the Government Gazette of any Dominion, colour or possession of this Majesty is a relevant factly

38 Relevancy of statements as to any law contained in law-books. -When the Court has to form an opinion as to a law of any country, any statement of such law contained in a book purporting to be printed or published under the authority of the Government of such country and to contain any such law, and any report of a ruling of the Courts of such country contained in a book purporting to be a report of such vulings. m relevant

HOW MUCH OF A STATEMENT IS TO BE PROVED

39 What evidence to be given when statement forms out of conversation, decument, book or series of letters or papers-When any statement of which evidence is given forms part of a longer statement, or of a conversation or part of an isolated document, or is contained in a document which forms part of a book, or of a connected series of letters or papers, coldence shall be given of so much and no more of the statement, conversation, document, book or series of letters or papers as the Court considers necessary in that particular case to the full understanding of the nature and effect of the statement, and of the circumstances under which it was made,

JUDGMENTS OF COURTS OF JUSTICE WHEN BELEVANT

- 40. Previous judgments relevant to bar a second suit or irial.—The existence of any judgment, order or decree which by law prevents any Court from taking cognizance of a suit or holding a trial, is a relevant fact when the question is whether such Court ought to take cognizance of such suit or to hold such teral
- 41, Relevancy of certain judgments in probate, etc., jurisdiction A final judgment, order or decree of a competent Court, in the exercise

Bubs, by the A. O for "Act of the G G of India in C".

The original words were "the Governors in Council of Madras or Bombay, of the Licuterant Governor in Council of Bengal, or in a notification of the Govt, appearing in the Cazette of India, or in the Gazette of any L. G, or in any orinted paper purporting to be the London Gazette or the Govt, Gazette of any colony or possession of the Queen, is a relevant fact". This was amended first by the Repealing and Amending Act, 1914 (10 of 1914), and then by the A. O. In read as above.

of probate taxtimionial, admiralty in insolverey juried cron, which confers upon or takes away from any poon any legal character which declare, my person to be entitled to any such character or to be entitled to any specific thing, not as against any specified person but absolutely is relevant when the existence of any such legal character, or the title of any such person to any such thing, is relevant

Such judgment, order or decree is conclusive proof-

that any legal character which it confers accrued at the time when such judgment order or decree came into operation,

that any legal character, to which it declares any such person to be entitled, account to that person at the time when such judgment traciler or decree dictaies it to have accrued to that person .

that any legal character which it takes away from any such person ceased at the time from which such judgment, 'Torder or decired de lared that it had ceased or should cease,

and that anything to which it declares any person to be so, entitled was the property of that person at the time from which such judgment, Morder of decreed declares that it had been or should be his property

42 Relevancy and effect of judgments, orders or decrees, other than those mentioned in section 41 -Judgments, orders, or decrees other than those mentioued in section 41 are relevant if they relate to matters of a public nature relevant to the enquiry, but such judgments, orders or decrees are not conclusive proof of that which they state

Illustration.

A sues B for trespass on his land, B alleges the existence of a public rightof way over the land, which A denies.

The existence of a decree in favour of the defendant, in a suit by A against C for a trespass on the same land, in which C alleged the existence of the same right of way, is relevant, but it is not conclusive proof that the right of way exists.

43. Judgments, etc., other than those mentioned in sections 40 to 42, when relevant. Judgments, orders or decrees, other than those mentioned in sections 40, 41 and 42, are irrelevant, unless the existence of such judgment, order or decree is a fact in issue, or is relevant under some other provision of this Act.

Illustrations

- (a) A and B separately sue C for a libel which reflects upon each of them.
 O in each case says that the matter alleged to be libellous is true, and the circumstances are men that it is probably true in each case, or in neither.
- A obtains a decree against C for damages on the ground that C failed make out his justification. The fact is irrelevant as between B and C
 - (5) A prosecutes B for adulters with C. A's wife.
 - B denies that C is A's wife, but the Court convicts B of adultery

Erpla iation — The expression "general custom or right" includes customs or rights common to any considerable class of persons

Illustration

The light of the villagers of a particular village to use the water of particular well is a governal right within the meaning of this section

49 Opinion as to usages, tenets, etc., when relevant -- When the Court has to form an opinion as to-

the usages and tenets of any body of men or family.

the constitution and government of any religious or charitable foundation or

the meaning of words or terms used in particular districts or by particular classes of people,

the opinious of persons having special means of knowledge thereon, are relevant facts

form an opinion as to the relationship of one person to another, the opinion, expressed by conduct, as to the existence of such relationship of any person who, as member of the family or otherwise, has special means of knowledge on the subject, is a relevant fact.

Provided that such opinion shall not be sufficient to prove a marriage in prosecdings under the Indian Divorce Act (IV of 1869), or in prosecutions under section 491, 495, 497, or 498 of the Indian Fenni Code (XIV of 1860)

Illustrations

(a) The question is, whether A and B were married

The fact that they were usually received and treated by their friends as

- (b) The question is, whether A was the legitimate son of B. The fact that A was always wested as such by manhers of the family is relevant.

 51 Geography of ominion when relevant. Whenever the religion of
- 51. Granus of opinion when relevant.—Whenever the opinion of any thing person is relevant, the grounds on which such opinion is based are also relevant.

Illustrations.

An expert why give an account of experiments performed by hon for the purpose of forming his opinion.

CHARACTER WHEN RELEVANT.

- 52 In civil cases character to prove conduct minuted irrelevant— In civil cases the fact that the character of any person concerned is such as to render probable or improbable any conduct imputed to him is irrelevant, except in so far as such character appears, from facts otherwise relevant.
- 53. In criminal cases previous good character relevant.—In criminal proceedings the fact that the person accused is of a good, character is relevant.

(Chapter III -Facts which need not be proved)

1[54 Previous bad character not relevant, except in reply -In criminal porceedings the fact that the accused person has a bad character is irrelevant, unless evidence has been given that he has a good character, in which case it becomes relevant.

Explanation 1—This section does not apply to cases in which the bad character of any person is itself a fact in issue

Lipplanation 2.—A previous conviction is relevant as evidence bad character l

55 Character as affecting damages.—In civil cases the fact that the character of any person is such as to affect the amount of damages which he ought to receive, is relevant.

Explanation -In sections 52, 53, 54 and 55, the word "character" includes both reputation and disposition, but, 2 except as provided in section 54,] evidence may be given only of general reputation and general disposition, and not of particular acts by which reputation or disposition were shown.

PART II.

ON PROOF.

CHAPTER III. FAOTS WEIGH NEED NOT BE PROVED.

- 56. Fact judically noticeable need not be proved -No fact of which the Court will take judicial notice need be proved.
- 57. Facts of which Court must take judical notice.—The Court shall take judicial notice of the following facts:-
- sp(1), All Indian laws:1 (2) All public Acts passed or hereafter to be passed by Parliament. and all local and personal Acts directed by Parliament to be judicially insticed.

 Arlicles of War for Her Majesty's Army [Navy or Air Force].
- (4) The course of proceeding of Parliament and of the legislatures established under any laws for the time being in force in British India .:

Replanation Wife word "Parliament" in clauses (2) and (4)

Saibs by the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Amendment Act. 1891.

1891). s. 6. for the original section.

10s by s 7 cost.

1895. by the A. O. for the original parts. (1)

Subs. by the Repealing and Amending Act, 1027 (10 of 1927), a. 2 and Sub. I. for "or Axy."

Subs. by the A. O. for "or the Councils for the purposes of making Laws and Regulations established under the Indian Councils Act, or any other law for the time being relating thereto."

Chapter III - Facts which need not be proved.)

- (1) the Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland,
- (2) the Parliament of Great Britain,
- (3) the Parhament of England.
- (4) the Parliament of Scotland: and
- (5) the Parhament of Ireland:

(5) The accession and the sign manual of the Sovereign for the time being of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland

(6) All seals of which English Courts take judicial notice: the seals of all the Courts of British India, and of all Courts out of British India, astablished by the authority of 1[the Central Government or the Crown representative : the seals of Courts of Admiralty and Maritime Jurisdietion and of Notaries Public, and all seals which any person is authorized to use by any Act of Paliament or other Act or Regulation having the force of law m British India.

(7) The accession to office, names, titles, functions and signatures of the persons filling for the time being any public office in any part, of British India, in the fact of their appointment to such office is notified in

Frany Official Gazette :

(2) The existence title and national flag of every State or Sovereign

recognized by the British Crown

(6) The divisions of time, the geographical divisions of the world, and public feed vals fasts and holidays notified in the Official Gazette.

(10) The territories under the dominion of the British Crown: (11) The commencement, continuance and termination of hostilities setween the British Crown and any other State or body of persons:

- (12) The names of the members and officers of the Coort and of their deputies and subordinate officers and assistants, and also of all officers acting in execution of its process; and of all advocates atterneys, process; values pleaders and other persons authorized by law to appear or act before it

(13) The rule of the road (on land or at sea). In all these cases and also on all matters of public history discreture. science or art, the Court may resort for its and to appropriate books or documents of reference.

If the Court is called upon by any person to take judicial notice of any fact it may refuse to do so unless and until such person produces any such book or document as it may consider necessary to enable it to do so

ISuba, by the A.O. for "the O. 6" or any E. G. in Council".

2Subs: by the A.O. for "the Gazette of India or in the official Gazette of India or in the India of India or India or in the India or India or

Ins. by the Indian Syldenes Ant Amendment Act (15 of 1872), s. b.

(Chapter III - Facts which need not be proved Chapter IV -Of Oral Evidence.)

58 Facts admitted need not be proved-No fact need be proved in any proceeding which the parties thereto or their agents agree to admit at the hearing, or which, before the hearing, they agree to admit by any writing under their hands, or which by any rule or pleading in force at the time they are deemed to have admitted by their pleadings

Provided that the Court may, in its discretion, require the facts

admitted to be proved otherwise than by such admissions

CHAPTER IV -OF ORAL EVIDENCE.

59 Proof of facts by onal evidence.—All facts, except the contents of documents, may be proved by oral evidence.

60. Oral cvidence must be direct.—Oral evidence must, in all cases whatever, be direct, that is to say-

if it refers to a fact which could be seen, it must be the evidence of

a witness who says he saw it:

if it refers to a fact which could be heard, it must be the evidence of a witness who says he heard it:

if it refers to a fact which could be perceived by any other sense or in any other manner, it must be the evidence of a witness who says he perceived if by that sense or in that manner;

to the refers to an appaion of to the grounds on which that opinion is held, it must be the evidence of the person who holds that offinion on those grounds.

those grounds:

Recycled that the opinions of experts expressed in any treaties reminont offered for sale, and the grounds on which such opinions are being may be proved by the production of such treaties if the author is or cannot be found or has become iscapable of giving evidence, or cannot be found or has become iscapable of giving evidence, or cannot be found or has become iscapable of giving evidence, or cannot be found or has become iscapable of giving evidence.

or cannot be found, or has become iscapable of giving evidence, or cannot be called as a witness without an amount of delay or expense which the Court regards it inversors of the contract of oral evidence refers to the existence or condition of any internal thing other than a document, the Court may if it chinks it replace the reclinate of such material thing for its inspersion.

CILAPTER V = 0. December 1881. Evidence.

61. Proof of contents of documents.—The contents of documents into the proved the by primary or by searchary evidence.

62. Primary evidence—Primary evidence means the document. Its self produced for the inspection of the Courts.

Faringaries / Where a document is executed in several parts each mark as primary evidence of the document.

Where a document is executed in counterpart, each counterpart being executed by one or some of the parties only each counterpart is primary evidence as against the parties executing it

Employed 2. Where a number of documents are all made by one uniform process, as in the case of printing, lithography or photography

(Chapter V -Of Documentary Evidence)

each is primary evidence of the contents of the rest, but, where they are all copies of a common original, they are not primary evidence of the contents of the original

Illustration

A person is shown to have been in possession of a number of placifida, all printed at one time from one original Any one of the placards is primary evidence of the contents of any other, but no one of them is primary evidence of the contents of the original

63. Secondary evidence - Secondary evidence means and includes -

(1) certified copies given under the provisions hereinafter contained:1

(2) copies made from the original by mechanical processes, which in themselves insure the accuracy of the copy, and copies compared with such copies:

(8) copies made from or compared with the original,

(4) counterparts of documents as against the parties who did not

(5) oral accounts of the contents of a document given by some person who has himself sees it.

Thusbations (a) A photograph of an original is secondary evidence of its contents, though the iwe have not been compered, if it is proved that the thing photographed was the criginal.

(i) A copy, compared with a copy of a letter made by a copying muching is secondary evidence of the contents of the letter, if it is shown that the copy made by the copying machine was made from the original.

(c) A copy transcribed from a copy but afterwards compared with the original is secondary evidence; but the copy not of compared is not secondary rides of the second attack the second attack was transcribed was consider with the original.

(a) beither an eral recount of a copy compared with the original nor an ard account of a photograph or machine copy of this criemal, as secondary aridence of the original

34. Period of document in primary endence—Documents must be proved by primary evidence except in the cases hereinafter mentioned to 65. Uses in which spect they coffered exciting to decurrents not as given.—Secondar scription and the given on the existence condition or contents of a focument in the adversary over.

(a), when the original is shown or appears to be in the possession, or

of the person against whom the document is sought to be proved or of any person out of reach of, or not subject to, the process of the Count or

(Chapter V -Of Documentary Evidence)

of any person legally bound to produce it, and when, after the notice mentioned in section 66, such person does not produce it:

- (b) when the existence, condition or contents of the original bave been proved to be admitted in writing by the person against whom it is proved or by his representative in interests;
- (c) when the original has been destroyed or lost, or when the party offering evidence of its contents cannot, for any other reason not arising from his own default or neglect, produce it in reasonable time,
- (d) when the original is of such a nature as not to be easily moveable :
- (e) when the original is a public document within the meaning of section 74.
- (f) when the original is a document of which a certified copy is permitted by this Act, or by any other law in force in British India, to be given in evidence;
- (4) When the original consist of numerous accounts or other documents which cannot conveniently be examined in Court, and the fact to be proved is the general result of the whole collection.

In cases (a), (c) and (d), any secondary evidence of the contents of the document is admissible.

In case (b), the written admission is admissible

In case (e) or (f), a certified copy of the document, but no other

kind of secondary evidence, is admissible

In case (v), evidence may be given as to the general result of the documents by any person who has examined them, and who is skilled in

the examination of such documents.

Secondary evidence of the contents of the footness referred to in section 65 clause (a) shall not be given onless the party proposing to give such secondary evidence has piven onless the party proposing to give such secondary evidence has previously much to the party in whose possession or power the document previously much to the party in whose possession or power the document of the battonies or pleader), such notice to produce it as is presented to the party of pleader), such notice to produce it as is presented to the party of pleader). as for to his attorney or pleader, such notice to produce it as is prescribed by law, then such notice as the Court considers reasonable under the discounistances of the cases.

Provider that such make such not be remared, in order to render secondary evident or the foot thanks to the discount with it ends of the cases, or is any other ease the arrival at the foot thanks to the discount with it.

(1) when he remains a large the case the arrests party must know that he will be responsed to produce its.

(4) when it appears to is powed that the

" T Gf. the Butkers: Books Evidence Act, 1897 (18 of 1891), a by the Indian Evideure Act Amendment Act (18 of 1872), a. G.

(Ch

(4) when the

(5) when the a document.

or not subject to, t

57. Proof of s signed or written signed or to have I nature or the hand in that person's ha 68 Proof of e

If a document is revidence until one pose of proving its

ik purports to have 69. Proof whe witness can be fod in the United Kin attesting witness a the possecutions of earth.

admission of a tell shall be sufficient document inducted

71 /: // witcess netil its execution

73. Phoof of attended Observation LP 16 was unatten

if it was unuited 73. Compares of the person by structure, writing Count to have been with the out been seal that not been.

The Court in words of Theres words of Regions s written by size, apter V -Of Documentary Evidence) adverse party or his agent has the original in Court:

idverse party or his agent has admitted the loss of the

person in possession of the document is out of reaca of,

he process of the Court.

ignature and handwriting of person alleged to document produced -If a document is alleged to be seen written wholly or in part by any person the sigwriting of so much of the document as is alleged to be indwriting must be proved to be in his handwriting. xecution of document required by law to be altested. equired by law to be attested, it shall not be used as attesting witness at least has been called for the purexecution, if there be an attesting witness alive, and ess of the Court and capable of giving evidence; : it it shall not be necessary to call an attesting witness ecution of any document, not being a will which has accordance with the provisions of the Indian Registra-71 of 1908), unless its execution by the person by whom e been executed is specifically denied.]

ra no attesting witness found.—If, no such attesting nd, or if the document purports to have been executed adoms it must be proved that the attestation of one t least is in his handwriting, and that the signature of ng the document is in the handwriting of that person.

of execution by party to attested dominant. The ty to an attested dominant of its execution by hanself proof of its execution as against him though it be a by law to be attested.

In attested, intries denies the execution II the lentes of does not recollect the execution of the document way be proved by other evidence.

decoment agt required live to be affected.—An

m of signature, writing or seal with others admitted or to ascertain whether a signature, writing or seal is that whom it purports to have been written or made, any or seal admitted or proved to the satisfaction of the p written or made by that person may be compared his to be proved although that signature, writing or produced or proved for any other purpose.

ay direct any person present in Court to write any to the purpose of enabling the Court to compare the written with any words or figures alleged to have been

(Chapter V-Of Documentary Evidence)

1 This section applies also, with any necessary modifications, finger-impressions.] .

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS.

- 74. Public documents -The following documents are public documents --
 - (1) documents forming the acts or records of the acts-

(1) of the sovereign authority,

(11) of official bodies and tribunals, and

- (iii) of public officers, legislative; judicial and executive, whether of British India, or of any other part of Her Majesty's dominions, or of a foreign country;
- (2) public records kept in British India of private documents.

75. Private documents.—All other documents are private

76. Certified copies of public documents.—2 Every public officer having the custody of a public document, which any person has a right to inspect, shall give that person on demand a copy of it on payment of the legal fees therefor, together with a certificate written at the foot of such copy that it is a true copy of such document or part thereof, as the case may be, and such certificate shall be dated and subscribed by such officer with his name and his official fitle, and shall be scaled, whenever such officer is authorized by law to make use of a seal, and such copies so certified shall be sailed ceruffed copies.

Explanation.—Any officer who by the ordinary course of official fluty; is authorized to deliver such copies shall be deemed to have the custody of such documents within the magning of this section; of proof of documents by anoduction of pertihed copies.—Such cortified copies may be produced in proof of the contents of the public documents or which they purpose to be copies or parts of the public documents of which they purpose to be copies.

78. Proof of other afficial documents—The following public documents

may be proved as follows -:

(1) Acts, orders or notifications of Fifth Central Government) in any of its departments for of the Grawn Bepresentative, or of say any of the Governments of any department of any between the contract of the Government.

by the records of the departments, certified by the heads of those departments respectively.

or by any document purporting to be printed by order of any such Government '(or, as the case may be, of the Crown Representative).

*Ins. by the Indian Evidence Act; 1899 (5 of 1899).

*A village-officer in the Punjab has been declared for the purposes of this Act to be a public officer having the custody of a public document—see the Punjab Land townine Act, 1887 (17 of 1887); s. 151 (2).

*Subs. by the A. O. for this Executive Government of British India.

(Chapter V.-Of Documentary Evidence)

(2), the proceedings of the Legislatures,-

by the journals of those bodies respectively, or by published Acts or abstracts, or by copies purporting to be printed [by order of the Government concerned :

(3) proclamations, orders or regulations issued by Her Majesty or by the Privy Council, or by any department of Her Majesty's Government, -

by copies or extracts contained in the London Gazette, or purporting to be printed by the Queen's Printer

(4) the Acts of the Executive or the proceedings of the Legislature of a foreign country,-

by journals published by their authority, or commonly received in that country as such, or by a copy certified under the seal of the country or sovereign, or by a recognition thereof 2[Central Act].

(5) the proceedings of a municipal body in British India,-

by a copy of such proceedings, certified by the legal keeper thereof, or by a printed book purporting to be published by the authority of such body:

(6) public documents of any other class in a foreign country,

to the original or by a copy certified by the legal keeper thereof, with a certificate under the seal of a notary public, or of a British Consul or diplomatic agent, that the copy is duly certified by the officer having the legal enstedy of the original, and upon proof of the character of the document according to the law of the foreign country

PRESONTITIONS AS TO DOCUMENTS

179 Presumption as to genuineness of certified copies. The Court shall presume every document purporting to be a certificate, certified copy or other document, which is by law declared to be admissible as evidence of any particular fact and which purports to be duly certified by any officer in British India or by any officer in any [Indian State], who is ouly authorized thereto by [Ithe Central Government or the Urown Representative to be genuine:

Provided that such document is substantially in the form and purports to be executed in the manner directed by law in that behalf.

The Court shall also presume that any efficer by whom any such document purports to be stened or ecrified held, when he signed it the official character which he claims in such paper

¹⁸ubs. by the A. O. for "by order of Grogerment". 28ubs. by the A.O. for "public Action the G.G. of India in C. Por de finition of "Central Act" see the General Clauses Act, 1897 (10 of 1897), a. 2

State in alliance with Het Majesty

(Chapter V .- Of Documentary Evidence)

80. Presumption as to documents produced as record of evidence-Whenever any document is produced before any Court, purporting to be a meord or memorandum of the evidence, or of any part of the evidence, given by a witness in a judicial proceeding or before any officer authorized by law to take such evidence or to be a statement or confession by any prisoner or accused person, taken in accordance with law, and purporting to be signed by any Judge or Magistrate, or by any such officer as aforesaid, the Court shall presume-

that the document is gunune; that any statements as to the circumstances under which it was taken, purporting to be made by the person signing it, are true, and that such evidence, statement or con-

fession was duly taken.

81. Presumption as to Gazettes, newspapers, private Acts of Parliament and other documents. The Court shall presume the genuineness of every document purporting to be the London Gazette or 1[any Official Gazette, or the Government Gazettel of any colony, dependency or, possession of the British Crown, or to be a newspaper or journal, or to be a copy of a private Act of Parliament printed by the Queen's Printer and of every document purporting to be a document directed by any law to be kept by any person, if such document is kept substantially in the form required by law and is produced from proper custody,

B. Presumption as to document admissible in England unthout proof of seal or signature. When any document is produced before any Court, purporting to he a document which, by the law in force for the time heing in proof of the terms of such contract, grant or other disposition of property, any Court of Justice in England or Ireland, without proof of the seal or stamp or signature authenticating it, or of the Judicial or Metal character claimed by the person by whom it purports to be signed, the Court shall presume that such seal, stamp or signature, is gennine, and that the person signing it held, at the time when he signed.

If the hidieral or official character which he chains.

and the document shall be udmissible for the same purpose for which

it would be admissible in Bugland at freland

it would be admissible in England or freland.

83 Pessamptions at a news or plans purporting to be made, with south field presume that maps or plans purporting to be made, by the surbority of lary Gorenment in British Linding, were so made, by the surbority of lary Gorenment in British Linding, were so made, by the surbority of lary maps or the made for the purposes of any cause made to the present to be scenarie.

84 Presumption at to applicate to the seasonal reports of decrease.—The Court shall presume the seasonales of every book purporting to be present of any court, and is contain any of the laws of that country.

Courts of such according to popular reports at decisions of the Courts of such according.

Subs. by the A. O. for "the Cazette of India, or the Government Gazetts

40

(Chapter V .- Of Documentary Evidence.)

85. Presumption as to powers-of-attorney -The Court shall presume that every document purporting to be a power-of-attorney, and to have been executed before, and authenticated by, a notary public, or any Court, Judge, Magistrate, British Consul or Vice-Consul, or representative of Her Majesty, or of the 1[Central Government], was so executed and authenticated

86 Presumption as to certified copies of foreign judicial records—The Court may presume that any document purporting to be a certified copy, of any judicial record of any country not forming part of Her Majesty's dominions is genuine and accurate, if, the document purports to be certified in any manner which is certified by any representative of Her Majesty or of the [Central Government] 2[in or for] country to be the manner commonly in use in that country for the certification of copies of judicial records

An officer who, with respect to any territory or place not forming part of Her Majesty's dominions, is a Political Agents therefor, as defined in section 3, clause (40), of the General Clauses Act, 1997 (X of 1897), shall, for the purposes of this section, be deemed to be a representative of the [Central Government] in and for the country

comprising that territory or place.

87. Presumption as to books, maps and charts. The Court may presume that any book to which it may refer for informatio non matters of public or general interest, and that any published map or chart, the statements of which are relevant lacts and which is produced for its rispection, was written and published by the person and at the time and place by whom or at which it purports to have been written or published.

88. Presumption as to telegraphic messages.—The Court may presume that a message forwarded from a telegraph office to the person to whom such message purports to be addressed, corresponds with a message delivered for transmission at the office from which the message purports to be sent; but the Court shall not make any presumption as to the person by whom such message was delivered for transmission.

89 It simplifies to the execution etc. of documents not produced.

The Court shall treatme that every document, called for and not produced at the notice to reduce, was attested, stamped and executed in the manner required by lay.

96 Its sun prior as in documents that name old.—Where any document proporting or provide to be therefore any unstady which the four in the matter case residers groves the fourth may presume that the signature and every other part of such document, which purports to be in the handwriting of any particular person is in that person's handwriting, and, in the case of a document executed or attested, that it was duly executed and attested by the persons by whom it purports to be executed and attested.

[!] Subs. by the A. O. for " Cl. of T."

² Subs. by the A. O. for 'Ct. of L.
2 Subs. by S. S. of the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Amendment Act. 1891.
(3 of 1891), for "resident in".
6 Subs. by S. 4 of the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Amendment Act. 1891.

+ 4

Chapter V -Q Documentary Endence. Chapter VI -Of the Exclusion of Oral by Bocumentary Evidence)

Explanation .- i) cuments are said to be in proper custody if they are in the place in which, and under the case of the person with whom, they would naturally be, but no custody is improper if it is proved to have had a legitumate origin, or if the circumstances of the particular case are such as to render such an origin probable.

This explanation applies also to section 81.

Illustrations

(a) A has been in possession of landed property for a long time. He produces from his custody deeds relating to the land, showing his titles to it. The custody is proper.

(b) A produces deeds relating to landed property of which he is the merigages. The mortgager is in possession. The custody is proper,

(c) A, a connection of B, produces deeds relating to lands in B's possessuon which were deposited with him by B for safe custody. The custody is proper.

CHAPTER VL

OF THE EXCLUSION OF ORAL BY DOUMENTARY EVIDENCE.

91. Evidence of terms of contracts; grants and other dispositions of appoints reduced to form of dorument. When the terms of a contract, or of a grant, or of any other disposition of property, have been reduced to the form of a document, and in all cases in which any matter is required. by law to be reduced to the form of a document, no evidence shall be given in proof of the terms of such contract grant or other disposition of proports, or of such upities except the normal used or secondary evidence of its contents in cases in which secondary evidence is admissible under

the provisions herembeliare contained.

**Exercises 1.—When a public officer is required by law to be appointed in writing and when it is shown that any particular person has acced, as such officer the writing by which he is appointed need for he proved

Exerption 2. Witts [admitted to prebate in British India] may be proved by the probate

proved by the probate ... Phis section applies equally to cases in which the contracts grants or dispositions in property referred to are contained in one discusses, the locality are contained in one discusses, the locality are contained to more documents than

Application of White here are non-critical strangers, as original only need to proved.

Expension of the statement of a factorial than any beam of precipite the admission of order than the fact ordered both the action, half not precipite the admission of order evidence as no the same fact.

*Subs. by \$ 7 of the imitan Evidence Av Amenament Act (18 of 1872

^{*} Where, however to Entrant Court Rade thanks onless, on other states about it as are tree that so the tent about the manner prescribed gradents about the relationship to the code of the taken and the relationship to the code of the taken and the relationship to the code of the code of the taken and the relationship to the code of the code we aid whater prescribed, evidence ment was duy nade—see the Code of the Code of

(Chapter VI - Of the Exclusion of Otal by Documentary Evidence)

Illustrations

- (a) If a contract be contained in several letters, all the letters in which it is contained must be proved
- (b) If a contract is contained in a bill of exchange, the bill of exchange must be proved.
- (c) If a hill of exchange is drawn in a set of three, one only need be proved,
- (d) A contracts, in writing, with B, for the delivery of indigo upon certain terms. The contract mentions the fact that B had paid A the price of other indigo contracted for verbally on another occasion.

Oral evidence is offered that no payment was made for the other indigo. The evidence is admissible.

(e) A gives B a receipt for money paid by B

Oral evidence is offered of the payment

. The evidence is admissible.

92 Exclusion of evidence of oral agreement—When the terms of any such contract, grant or other disposition of property, or any matter required by law to be reduced to the form of a document, have been proved according to the last section, no evidence of any oral agreement or statement shall be admitted as between the parties to any such instrument or their representatives in interest, for the purpose of contradicting, varying, adding to or substracting from, its terms:

Proviso (1)—Any fact may be proved which would invalidate any decree or which would entitle any person to any decree or order relating thereto; such as fraud; intimidation, illegality, want of due execution, want of capacity in any contracting party, [want or failure] of consideration of mistake in fact or law.

Proviso (2)—The existence of any separate oral agreement as to any matter on which a deciment is silent and which is not inconsistent with its ferms, may be proved. In considering whether or not this proviso applies the Court shall have regard to the degree of formality of the deciment.

Provise (3).—The existence of any separate oral agreement constituting a condition procedent to the attaching of any obligation under any such contract, grant or disposition of property may be proved.

Proviso (2)—The existence of any distinct subsequent oral agreement to resend or modify any such contract, grant or disposition of property, may be proved except in cases in which such contract, grant or disposition of property is by law required to be in writing or has been registered according to the law in force for the time being as to the registration of documents.

^{&#}x27;Subs. by s 8 of the Indian Evidence Act Amendment Act (18 of 1872) for 2 want of failure''.

(Chapter XI -Of the Exclusion of Oral by Documentary Evidence)

Proviso (5) -Any usage or custom by which incidents not expressly mentioned in any contract are usually annexed to contracts of that description, may be proved

Provided that the annexing of such incident would not be repugnant to, or inconsistent with, the express terms of the contract

Proviso (6) -Any fact may be proved which shows in what maner the language of a document is related to existing facts

(a) A policy of insurance is effected on goods "m ships from Calcutta to London". The goods are shipped in a particular ship which is lost. The fact that that particular ship was orally excepted from the policy cannot be proved.

(b) A agrees absolutely in writing to pay B Rs 1,000 on the 1st March, 1873. The fact that, at the same time an oral agreement was made that the money

should not be paid till the thirty-first March cannot be proved.

(c) An estate called "the Rampore tea" estate " is sold by a deed which contains a map of the property sold. The fact that land not included in the map had always been regarded as part of the estate and was meant to pass by the deed cannot be proved

(d) A erriers into a written contract with Bi to work certain mines, the property, of B, upon certain terms. A was induced to do so by a misrepresen-

lation of B's as to their value. This fact may be proved.

(e) A matitutes a suit against B for the specific performance of a contract, and also prays that the contract may be reformed as to one of its provisions, as that provision was inserted in it by mistake. A may prove that such a mistake was made as would by law entitle him to have the contract reformed.

(f) A orders good, of B by a letter in which nothing is said as to the time of payment, and accepts the goods on delivery. B sues A for the price. A may show that the goods were supplied on credit for a term still unexpired.

paper in these words: "Bought of A a horse for Rs. 500." B may prove the verbal watranty.

(4) A hires hodgings of B, and gaves a card on which is written Rooms, Rs. 290 a month. A may prove a verbal agreement that these terms were include purish board

A hires ladgues of B for a year, and a regularly stamped agreement, drawn up by an actorney is made between them. It is stient on the subject of board. A may not prove that heard was included in the term verbally.

(1) A applies to B for a dobt due to A by sending a receipt for the money. B keeps the receipt and does not send the money. In a suit for the amount A may prove this.

may prove this.

(3) A and R make a contract in waiting to take effect upon the harpening of a certain contragancy. The writing is left with B, who sues A upon it. A may show the circumstances inder which it was delivered.

93 Excusion of confence to explain or anond ambiguous document.—When the language used in a document is on its face ambiguous or detective, authors and not be given of tacks which would show its meaning or supply its detects.

Hustralions

Thustations.

(a) A agrees, in writing, to sell a horse to B for Rs 1,000 or Rs 1,000. Evidence cannot be given to show which rules was to be given.

(6) A deed contains blanks. Evidence cannot be given of facts which would how they were meant to be filled.

19 2 33 A 4

(Chapter VI -Of the Exclusion of Oral by Documentary Evidence.)

94 Exclusion of evidence against application of document to existing facts - When language used in a document is plain in itself, and when it applies accurately to existing facts, evidence may not be given to show that it was not meant to apply to such facts. *

Tilustrations.

A sells to B by deed, "my estate at Rampur containing 1,00 highás". A has an estate at Rampur containing 100 bighas Evidence may not be given of the fart that the estate meant to be sold was one situated at a different place and of a different size.

95. Evidence as to document unmeaning in reference to existing facts. When language used in a document is plain in itself, but is unmeaning in reference to existing facts, evidence may be given to show that it was used in a peculiar sense.

Illustrations

A sells to B, by deed, "my house in Calcutta".

A had no house in Calcutta, but it appears that he had a house at Howrah, of which B had been in possession since the execution of the deed

These facts, may be proved to show that the deed related to the house at Howrah.

96. Evidence as to application of language which can apply to one only of several persons. When the facts are such that the language used might have been meant to apply to any one, and could not have been meant to apply to more than one, of several persons or things, evidence may given of facts which show which of those persons or things it was intended to apply to

. Illustrations.

A series to sell to B. for its 1,000, "in white horse". title houses. Evidence may be given of facts which show which of them was meanly

(b) A agrees to accompany B to Haldarabed. Evidence may be given of tasts showing whether Haldarabad in the Dekkhan or Haldarabad in Sind was mean.

97. Buidence as to application of tanguage to one of two sets of facts to neither of which the whole correctly applies + When the language used applies could to one set of existing facts, and partly to another set of existing facts, and partly to another set of existing facts, and partly to either, arithmetical to be died to show to which of the two it was meant to apply.

Illustrations.

A agrees to tall to B "my land at X in the occupation of V^* A has land at X, but not in the occupation of X, and be that not in the occupation of X, but it is not at X. Evidence may be given of facts showing which he meant to soft

98. Evidence as to meaning of illegible characters, etc.—Byttlence may

(Chapter VI Of the Exclusion of Oral by Documentary Evidence)
(Chapter VII —Of the Burden of Proof)

characters, of foreign, obsolete, technical, local and provincial expressions, of abbreviation, and of words used in a peculiar sense

Illustration

A, scalptor, agrees to sell to B, 'all my mods'.' A has both models and modelling tools. Evidence may be given to show which he meant to sell

99 Who may give evidence of agreement varying terms of document -Persons who are not parties to a document, or their representatives in interest, may give evidence of any tacts tending to show a contemporaneous agreement varying the terms of the document

Illustration

A and B make a contract in writing that B shall sell A certain cotton, to be paid for on delivery At the same time they make an oral agreement that three months' credit shall be given to A. This could not be shown as between A and B, but it might be shown by C, if it affected his interests

100 Saving of provisions of Indian Succession Act relating to wills -Nothing in this Chapter contained shall be taken to affect any of the provisions of the Indian Succession Act (X of 1865)1 as to the construction of wills

(Chapter VII -Of the Burden of Proof) PART III

PRODUCTION AND EFFECT OF EVIDENCE

CHAPTER VII

OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF

101 Burden of proof .- Whoever desires any Court to give judgment as to any legal right or hability dependent on the existence of facts which he asserts, must prove that those facts exist

When a person is bound to prove the existence of any fact, it is said that the burden of proof lies on that person

Illustrations

(a) A desires a Court to give judgment that B shall be punished for a erime which A says B has committed

A must prove that B has committed the cume

(b) A desires a Court to give judgment that he is entitled to certain land in the possession of B, by reason of tacts which he asserts, and which B denies, to be true

A must prove the existence of those facts.

102. On whom burden of proof lies. The burden of proof in a suit or proceeding hes on that person who would fail if no evidence at all were given on either side.

Illustrations

(a) A snes B for land of which B is in possession, and which, as A asserts, was left to A by the will of C. B's father.

If no evidence were given on either side, B. would be entitled to retain his

1 See now the Indian Succession Act, 1925 (39 of 1925), Pt. VI. Ch. VI. 37 8 8 9 11, THEO

(Chapter VII -Of the Burden of Proof)

Therefore the burden of proof is on A

(b) A sues B for money due on a bond

The execution of the bond is admitted, but B says that it was obtained by fraud, which A denies

If no evidence were given on either side, A would succeed as the bond is not disputed and the fraud is not proved

Therefore the burden of proof is on B

103 Burden of proof as to particular fact - The burden of proof as to any particular fact lies on that person who wishes the Court to believe in its existence, unless it is provided by any law that the proof of that fact shall be on any particular person

1(a) A prosecutes B for theft, and wishes the Court to believe that B admitted the theft to C. A must prove the admission

B wishes the Court to believe that, at the time in question, he was elsewhere He must prove it

104 Burden of proving fact to be proved to make evidence admissible. The burden of proving any fact necessary to be proved in order to enable any person to give evidence of any other fact is on the person who wishes to give such evidence.

Illustrations

(a) A wishes to prove a dying declaration by B A must prove B's death (b) A wishes to prove, by secondary cyrdence, the contents of a lost doen-

ment A must prove that the document has been lost,

105 Burden of proving that case of accused comes within exceptions -When a person is accused of any offence, the burden of proving the existenice of circumstances bringing the case within any of the General Exceptions in the Indian Penal Code (XLV of 1860), or within any special exception or proviso contained in any other part of the same Code, or in any law defining the offence, is upon him, and the Court shall presume the absence of such circumstances

Illustrations.

"; (a) A, accused of murder, alleges that, by reason of unsoundness of mind, he did not know the nature of the act

The burden of proof is on A.

" (b) A, accused of murder, alleges that, by grave and sudden provocation, he was deprived of the power of self-control.

The burden of proof is on A. (e) Section 325 of the Indian Penal Code (XLV of 1860) provides that whoever, except in the case provided for by section 335, voluntarily causes grievous burt, shall be subject to certain panishments.

A is charged with voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section 325.

The burden of proving the eircunstances bringing the case under section 835 les on A

See in the Act as published in Gazette of India, 1872, Pt. IV, p. o. illustration (6). is no illustration (8)...

Charter VII -Of the Burden of Proof)

106 Burden of proving fact especially within knowledge - When any fact is especially within the knowledge of any person, the burden of proving that fact is upon him

Illusti atrons

- (a) When a person does an act with some intention other than that which the character and encumstances of the act suggest, the burden of proving that intention is upon him
- (b) A is charged with travelling on a railway without a ticket. The burden of proving that he had a ticket is on him
- 107 Burden of proving death of person known to have been alive within thirty years - When the question is whether a man is alive or dead. and it is shown that he was alive within thirty years, the burden of proving that he is dead is on the person who affirms it
- 168 Builden of proving that person is above who has not been heard of for seven years—1 Provided that when the question is whether a man is alive or dead, and it is proved that he has not been heard of for seven years by those who would natuarlly have heard of him if he had been alive, the burden of proving that he is alive 2[shifted to] the person who affirms it
- 109 Burden of proof as to relationship in the cases of partners, landlord and towart, principal and agent - When the question is whether persons are partners, landlord and tenant, or principal and agent, and it has been shown that they have been acting as such, the burden of proving that they do not stand, or have ceased to stand, to each other in those relationships respectively, is on the person who affirms it
- 110. Burden of proof as to ownership —When the question is whether any person is owner of anything of which he is shown to be in possession, the burden of proving that he is not the owner is on the person who affirms that he is not the owner.
- 111. Proof of good faith in transactions where one party is in relation of active confidence.—Where there is a question as to the good faith of a transaction between parties, one of whom stands to the other in a position of active confidence, the burden of proving the good faith of the transaction is en the party who is in a position of active confidence

Illustrations

- (a) The good faith of a sale by a chent to an attorney is in question in a suit brought by the client. The bunden of proving the good faith of the transaction is on the attorney
- (h) The good faith of a sule by a son just come of age to a father is in question in a suit brought by the son. The burden of proving the good faith of the transaction is on the futher
- Birth during marriage conclusive proof of legitimacy.—The fact that any person was born during the continuance of a valid marriage. between his mother and any man, or within two hundred and eighty
- Subs by the Indian Evidence Act Amendment Act (18 of 1872), s. 9, for When Subs by a 9, ibit, for on

4 + 180

(Chapter VII -Of the Burden of Proof)

days after its dissolution, the mother remaining unmarried, shall be conclusive proof that he is the legitimate son of that man, unless it can be shown that the parties to the mairiage had no access to each other at any time when he could have been begotten

- 113 Proof of cession of territory—A notification in the ¹[Official Gazette] that any portion of British territory has ²[before the commencement of Part III of the Government of India Act, 1935] (26 Geo 5, Ch 2), been ceded to any Native State, Prince or Ruler, shall be conclusive proof that a valid cession of such territory took place at the date mentioned in such notification 3
- 114. Court may presume existence of certain facts—The Court may presume the existence of any fact which it thinks likely to have happened, regard being had to the common course of natural events, human conduct and public and private business, in their relation to the facts of the particular case

Illustrations 5 4 1

The Court may presume-

- (a) that a man who is in possession of stolen goods soon after the theft is either the thief or has received the goods knowing them to be stolen, unless he can account for his possession,
- that an accomplice is unworthy of credit, unless he is corroborated in material particulars.
- (c) that a bill of exchange, accepted or endorsed, was accepted or endorsed for good consideration .
- (d) that a thing or state of things which has been shown to be in existence within a period shorter than that within which such things or states of things usually cease to exist, is still in existence,
 - (e) that judicial and official acts have been regularly performed;
- (1) that the common course of business has been followed in particular cases :
- (g) that evidence which could be and is not produced would, if produced, be unfavourable to the person who withholds it,
- (h) that, if a man refuses to answer a question which he is not compelled to answer, by law, the arswer, if given, would be unfavourable to him;
- (4) that when a document creating an obligation is in the hands of the obligor, the obligation has been discharged

But the Court shall also have regard to such facts as the following, in considering whether such maxims do or do not apply to the particular case before it

as to *Hinstration* (a)—a shop-keeper has in his till a marked rupee soon after it was stolen, and caunot account for its possession specifically, but is continually receiving rupees in the source of his business:

¹ Subs by the A.O. for "Gazette of India" This by the A.O. Part III of the G. of I. Act, 1935, came into force on the 1st April 1937 O/ s 290 of that Act. 18t April 1937 O/ 8 290 of hint Act.

* See, for example, Gazette of India, 1873, Pt. 1, p. 2.

(Chapter VII.—Of the Burden of Proof Chapter VIII -Estoppel.)

as to Illustration (b)—A, a person of the highest character, is tried for causing a man's death by an act of negligence in an anging certain machinery B, a person of equally good character, who also took part in the arrangement, describes preceively what was done, and admits and explains the common carelessness of A and himself.

as to Illustration (b)—a crime is committed by several persons. A, B and C, three of the criminais, are captured on the spot and kept apart from each other. Lach gives an account of the crime implicating D, and the accounts corroborate each other in such a manner as to render previous concert highly improvable.

as to *Illustration* (c) —A, the drawer of a bill of exchange, was a man of business. B, the acceptor, was a young and ignorant person, completely under A s influence

as to Illustration (d)—it is proved that a liver can in a certain course five year, ago, but it is known that there have been floods since that time which might thinge its course

as to Illustration (e)—a judicial act, the regularity of which is in question, was performed under exceptional circumstances

as to Illustration (f)—the question is, whether a letter was received. It is shown to have been posted, but the usual course of the post was interrupted by disturbances

as to Illustration (g)—a man refuses to produce a document which would bear on a contract of small importance on which he is sued, but which might also injure the feelings and reputation of his family

as to Illustration (h)—a man refuses to answer a question which he is not compelled by law to answer, but the answer to it might cause loss to him in matters unconnected with the matter in relation to which it is asked

us to Illustration (*)—a bond is in possession of the obligor, but the circommstances of the case are such that he may have stolen it

CHAPTER VIII - ESTOPPEL

115 Estoppel—When one person has, by his declaration, act or omission, intentionally caused or permitted another person to believe a thing to be true and to act upon such belief, neither he nor his representative shall be allowed, in any suit or proceeding between himself and such person of his representative, to deny the truth of that thing

Illustration

A intentionally and falsely leads B to believe that certain land belongs to A and thereby induces B to buy and pay for it

The land afterwards becomes the property of A, and A seeks to set aside the sale on the ground that, at the time of the sale, he had no title He must not be allowed to prove his want of title.

tenant of immovable property, or person claiming through such tenant, shall during the continuance of the tenancy, be permitted to deny that the landlord of such tenant had at the beginning of the tenancy, a title to such immovable property, and no person who came upon any immovable property by the license of the person in possession thereof shall be permitted to deny that such person had a title to such possession at the time when such license was given.

(Chapter VIII - Estoppel Chapter IX - OF Witnesses)

117 Estoppel of acceptor of bul of exchange, bailee or licensee -No acceptor of a bill of exchange shall be permitted to deny that drawer had authority to draw such bill or to endorse it, nor shall any bailee or licensee be permitted to deny that his bancr or licensor had, at the time when the bailment or liceuse commenced, authority to make such bailment or grant such license

Explanation (1) -The acceptor of a bill of exchange may deny that the bill was really drawn by the person by whom it purports to have been drawn

Explanation (2)—If a bailed delivers the goods bailed to a person other than the bailor, he may prove that such person had a right to them as against the bailor

CHAPTER IX -OF WITNESSES

118 Who may testify—All persons shall be competent to tesify unless the Court considers that they are prevented from understanding the questions put to them, or from giving rational answers to those questions. by tender years, extreme old age, disease, whether of body or mind, or any other cause of the same kind

Explanation - A lunatic is not incompelent to testify, unless he is prevented by his lunacy from understanding the questions put to him and giving rational answers to them

- 119 Dumb witnesses —A witness who is unable to speak may give his evidence in any other manner in which he can make it intelligible, as by writing or by signs, but such writing must be written and the signs made in open Court Evidence so given shall be deemed to be oral evidence.
- 120 Parties to civil suit, and their wives or husbands. Husband or wife of person under criminal trul. In all civil proceedings the parties to the suit, and the husband or wife of any party to the suit, shall be competent witnesses. In criminal proceedings against any person, the husband or wife of such person, respectively, shall be a competent witness.
- 121 Judges and Magistrates -No Judge or Magistrate shall, except upon the special order of some Court to which he is subordinate, be compelled to answer any questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Audge or Magistrate, or as to anything which came to his knowledge in Sourt as such Judge or Magistrate : but he may be examined as to other matters which occurred in his presence whilst he was so acting.

Illustrations,

- (a) A, on his trial before the Court of Session, says that a deposition was improperly taken by B. the Magistrate. B cannot be compelled to answer questions as to this, except upon the special order of a appeniar Court.
- (c) A is accused before the Court of Session of having given false evidence before B, a Magistrate B cannot be asked what A said, except upon the special order of the superior Court.

 (c) Ass accused before the Court of Session of attempting to marder a
- pelice-officer whilst on his trial before B, a Sessions Judge. B may be examined to what occurred.

(Chapter IX -Of Witnesses)

122 Communications during marriage -No person who is or has been married shall be compelled to disclose any communication made to him during mairiage by any person to whom he is or has been married shall he be permitted to disclose any such communication, unless the person who made-it, or his repic entative in interest, consents, except in suits between mairred persons, or proceedings in which one mairied person is prosecuted for any crime committed against the other

123 Evidence as to affairs of State -No one shall be permitted to give any evidence derived from unpublished official records relating to any affairs of State, except with the permission of the officer at the head of the department concerned, who shall give or withhold such permission

as he thinks fit

124. Official communications.—No public officer shall be compelled to disclose communications made to him in official confidence, when he considers that the public interests would suffer by the disclosure

1[125. Information as to commission of offences—No Magistrate or Police-officer shall be compelled to say whence he got any information as to the commission of any offence, and no Revenue officer shall be compelled to say whence he got any information as to the commission of any offence against the public revenue

Explanation -- "Revenue-officer" in this section means any officer employed in or about the business of any branch of the public revenue]

126. Professional communications.-No barrister, attorney, pleader or vakil shall at any time be permitted, unless with his client's express consent, to disclose any communication made to him in the course and for the purpose of his employment as such barrister, pleader, attorney or valid, by or on behalf of his client, or to state the contents or condition of any document with which he has become acquainted in the course and for the purpose of his professional employment, or to disclose any advice given by him to his client in the course and for the purpose of such employment'

Provided that nothing in this section shall protect from disclosure-

(1) any such communication made in furtherance of any 2 [illegal] purpose :

(2) any fact observed by any barrister, pleader, attorney or vakil, in the course of his employment as such, showing that any crime or friend has been committed since the commencement of his employment.

It is immeterial whether the attention of such barrister, s[pleader], attorney or value was or was not directed to such fact by or on behalf of his client

Explanation. The obligation stated in this section continues after the employment has deased

Subs. for original s. 125 by the Indian Evidence Act (1872) Amendment Act, 1887 (3 of 1887).

Act, 1887 (3 of 1884)

Subs by S. 10 of the Indian Evidence, Act Amendment Act (18 of 1872)

for communat

Ins. shid.

(Chapter IX —Of Witnesses)

Illustrations

(a) A, a client, says to B, an attorney-"I have committed forgery and I wish you to defend me"

As the defence of a man known to be guilty is not a criminal purpose, this communication is protected from disclosure

(b) A, a client, says to B, an attorney—"I wish to obtain possession of property by the use of a forged deed on which I request you to sue "

The communication, being made in furtherance of a criminal purpose, is

not protected from disclosure

(c) A, being charged with embezzlement, retains B, an attorney, to defend him. In the course of the proceedings, B observes that an entry has been made in A's account book, charging A with the sum said to have been embezzled, which entry was not in the book at the commencement of his employment

This being a fact observed by B in the course of his employment, showing that a fraud has been committed since the commencement of the proceedings, it is not protected from disclosure

127 Section 126 to apply to interpreters, etc.—The provisions section 126 shall apply to interpreters, and the clerks or servants of barristers, pleaders, attorneys and valide

128 Privilege not waived by volunteering evidence—If any party to a suit gives evidence therein at his own instance or otherwise, he shall not be deemed to have consented thereby to such disclosure as is mentioned in section 126, and, if any party to a suit or proceeding calls any such barrister, 1[pleader], attorney or vakil as a witness, he shall be deemed to have consented to such disclosure only if he questions such barrister, attorney or vakil on matters which, but for such question, he would not be at liberty to disclose

129 Confidential communications with legal advisers—No one shall he compelled to disclose to the Court any confidential communication which has taken place between him and his legal professional adviser, unless he offers hunself as a witness, in which case he may be compelled to disclose any such communications as may appear to the Court necessary to be known in order to explain any evidence which he has given but no others

130. Production of title-deed of witness, not a party -No witness who is not a party to a suit shall be compelled to produce his title-deeds to any property or any document in virtue of which he holds any property as pledges or mortgages or any document the production of which might find to eriminate him, unless he has agreed in writing to produce them with the person seeking the production of such deeds or some person through whom he claims,

131 Production of documents which another person, having posses-non, could refuse to produce.—No one shall be compelled to produce documents in his possession, which and other person would be entitled to refuse to produce if they were in his possession, unless such last mentioned person consents to their production.

Ins. by s. 10 of the Indian Evidence Act Amendment Ast (18 of 1872)

1872 : Act I

Chapter X-Of the Exammatron (Chapter IN -(If Witnesser Witnesses)

132 Witness not excused from answering on ground that answer will criminale - A witness shall not be excused from answering any question as to any matter relevant to the matter in issue in any suit or in any eavil or eriminal proceeding, upon the ground that the answer to such question will criminate, or may tend directly or indirectly to criminate, such witness, or that it will expose, or tend directly or indirectly to expose, such witness to a penalty or torfeiture of any kind

Proviso - Provided that no such answer, which a witness shall be compelled to give shall subject him to any arrest or prosecution, or be proved against him in any criminal proceeding, except a prosecution for giving talse evidence by such answer

133. Accomplise - An accomplice shall be a competent witness against an accused person, and a conviction is not illegal merely because it proceeds upon the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice

134 Number of uninesses .-- No particular number of witnesses shall in any case he required for the proof of any fact

CHAPTER X.-OF THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES,

135 Order of production and examination of wilnesses -The order in which witnesses are produced and examined shall be regulated by the law and practice for the time being relating to civil and crimmal procedure respectively, and, in the absence of any such law, by the discretion of the Court

136. Iwige to decide as to admissibility of evidence - When either party proposes to give evidence of any fact, the Judge may ask the party proposing to give the evidence in what manner the alleged fact, if proved, would be relevant; and the Judge shall admit the evidence if he thinks that the fact, if proved, would be relevant and not otherwise.

If the fact proposed to be proved is one of which evidence is admissible only upon proof of some other fact, such last-mentioned fact must be proved before evidence is given of the fact first mentioned, unless the party undertakes to give proof of such fact, and the Court is satisfed with such undertaking.

If the relevancy of one alleged fact depends upon another alleged fuct being first proved, the Judge may, in his discretion, either permit evidence of the first fact to be given before the second fact is proved for require evidence to be given of the second fact before evidence is given of the first fact.

Illustrations. (a) This proposed to prove a statement about a relevant fact by a person alleged to be dead which statement is relevant under section 32.

The fact that the person is dead must be proved by the person proposing the prove the statement, before evidence is given of the statement.

(b) It is proposed to prove, by a copy, the contents of a document said

to be look.

The fact that the crieving is lost must be proved by the person proposing to produce the copy before the copy is produced.

(Chapter X.-Of the Examination of Witnesses)

(c) A is accused of receiving stolen property knowing it to have been stolen

It is proposed to prove that he denied the possession of the property

The relev new of the demal depends on the identity of the property. The Court, may, in its discretion, either require the property to be identified before the demai of the possession is proved, or permit the demai of possession to be proved before the property is identified

- (d) It is proposed to prove a fact (A) which is said to have been the cause or effect of a fact in issue. There are several intermediate facts (B, C and D) which must be shown to exist before the fact (A) can be regarded as the cause or effect of the fact in issue. The Court may either permit A to be proved before B, C, or D is proved, or may require proof of B, C and D before permitting proof of A.
- 137. Examination-in-Chief—The examination of a witness by the party who calls him shall be called his examination-in-chief

Cross-examination—The examination of a witness by the adverse party shall be called his cross-examination

Re-examination—The examination of a witness, subsequent to the cross-examination by the party who called him, shall be called his re-examination

138. Order of examinations —Witnesses shall be first examined-inwhief, then (if the adverse party so desires) cross-examined, then (if the party calling him so desires) re-examined

The examination and cross-examination must relate to relevant facts but the cross-examination need not be confined to the facts to which the witness testified on his examination-in-chief

Direction of re-examination—The re-examination shall be directed to the explanation of matters referred to in cross-examination, and, it new matter is, by permission of the Court, introduced in re-examination, the adverse party may further cross-examine upon that matter.

- 139. Cross-examination of person called to produce a document—A person summoned to produce a document does not become a witness by the mere fact that the produces it and cannot be cross-examined indees and until he is called as a witness
- 140 Witnesses to character—Witnesses to character may be cross-examined and re-examined.
- 141. Leading questions -- Any question suggesting the answer which the person putting it wishes or expects to receive is called a leading question.
- 142. When they must not be asked—Leading questions must not, if objected to by the adverse party be asked in an examination in chief, or in a re-examination, except with the permission of the Court
- The Court shall permit leading questions as to matters which are introductory or undisputed, or which have in its opinion been already sufficiently proved.
- 143. When they may be asked.—Leading questions may be asked in cross-examination.

(Chapter X .- Of the Examination of Wilnesses)

144 Evidence as to matters in writing.—Any witness may be asked. whilst much examination, whether any contract, grant or other disposition of property, as to which he is giving evidence, was not conturned in a document, and it he says that it was, or if he is about to make any statement us to the concents of any document, which, in the ommon of the fourt, ought to be prounced, the adverse party may object to such evidence bring given until such document is produced. or until that's have been proved which entitle the party who called the Witness to give a constary evidence of it

Explication - A witness may give oral evidence of statements made by other persons about the contents of documents it such statements are in themselves relevant lacts

Tilnstration

The question is, whether A assaulted B

C deposes that he heard A say to D-'B wrote a letter accusing me of theft, and I will be revenged in him." This statement is relevant, as showing A's motive for the assault, and evidence may be given of it, though no other evidence is given about the letter.

145 Cross-examination as to previous statements in writing.—A witness may be cross-examined as to previous statements made by him in writing or reduced into writing, and relevant to matters in question, without such writing being shown to him, or being proved; but, if it is intended to contradict him by the writing, his attention must, before the writing can be proved, be called to those parts of it which are to be used for the purpose of contradicting him.

- 146. Questions lawful in cross-examination When a witness is crossexamined, he may, in addition to the questions hereinbefore referred to, be asked any questions which tend-
 - (1) to test his verseity,
 - (2) to discover who he is and what is his position in life, or
- (8) to shake his credit, by injuring his character, although the the or to such questions might tend directly or indirectly to criminate kim or might expose or tend directly or indirectly to expose him to a penalty or forfeiture.

When toleness to be compolled to answer - If any such question relates to a matter relevant to the suit or proceeding, the provisions of section 132 shall apply thereto.

148 Count to decide when question shall be asked and when witness compelled to answer. If any such question relates to a matter not relevant to the suit or proceeding, except in so far as it affects the credit of the witness by injuring his character, the Court shall decide whether or not the witness shall be compelled to answer it, and may if it thruks fit,

4 As to the application of s. 145 to police diaries, see the Code of Criminal Proceedure, 1898 (Act 5 of 1598) 4 172

(Chapter X -Of the Eramination of Witnesses)

wain the witness that he is not obliged to answer it. In exercising its discretion the Court shall have regard to the following considerations —

- (1) such questions are proper if they are of such a nature that the truth of the imputation conveyed by them would seriously affect the opinion of the Court as to the credibility of the witness on the matter to which he testifies
- (2) such questions are improper if the imputation which they convey relates to matters so remote in time, or of such a character, that the truth of the imputation would not affect, or would affect in a slight degree, the opinion of the Court as to the credibilty of the witness on the matter to which he testifies
- (3) such questions are improper if there is a great disproportion between the importance of the imputation made against the witness's character and the importance of his evidence
- (4) the Court may, if it sees fit, draw, from the witness's refusal to answer, the inference that the answer if given would be unfavourable.
- 149 Question not to be asked unthout reasonable grounds—No such question as is referred to in section 148 ought to be asked, unless the person asking it has reasonable grounds for thinking that the imputation which it conveys is well-founded

Illustrations

- (a) A barrister is instructed by an attorney or vakil that an important witness is a dákáit. This is a leasonable ground for asking the witness whether he is a dákáit.
- (i) A pleader is informed by a person in Court that an important witness is a dakait. The informant on being questioned by the pleader, gives satisfactory reasons for his statement. This is a reasonable ground for asking the witness whether he is a dakait
- (d) A witness, of whom nothing whatever is known, is asked at random whether he is a dákáit. There are here no reasonable grounds for the question.
- (d) A wifness of whom nothing whatever is known herog questioned as to his mode of life and means of living, gives mastisfactory answers. This may be a reasonable ground for asking him if he is a dakait.
- may be a reasonable ground for asking him if he is a dakait.

 150. Procedure of Court in case of question being asked without reasonable grounds.—If the Court is of opinion that any such question was asked without reasonable grounds, it may if it was asked by any bardister pleader vakil or attorney, report the circumstances of the case to the High Court or other authority to which such bairister, pleader, wakil or attorney is subject in the exercise of his profession.
- 451. Indecent and secondalous questions.—The Court may forbid any questions or inquiries which it regards as indecent or scandalous although such questions or inquiries may have some bearing on the questions before the Court unless they relate to facts in issue, or to matters necessary to be known in order to determine whether or not the facts in some existed

Chapter X -() the Erammulton of Wilnesses)

152 Questions intended to insult or annoy-The Court shall forbid any que dion which appears to it to be intended to insult or annoy, or which, though proper in itself, appears to the Court needlessly offensive in form

153 Exclusion of evidence to contradict answers to questions lesting veracity -- When a witness has been asked and has answered any question which is relevant to the inquiry only in so far as it tends to shake his credit by injuring his character, no evidence shall be given to contradict him , but, it he answers falsely, he may afterwards be charged with groung false evidence.

Exception 1.- If a witness is asked whether he has been previously convicted of any crime and demes it, evidence may be given of his previous conviction

Exception 2 -If a witness is asked any question tending to impeach his impartinity and answers it by denying the facts suggested, he may be contradicted

Illustrations.

(a) A claim against an underwriter is resisted on the ground of fraud. The claimant is asked whether, in a former transaction, he had not made a fraudulent claum. He denies il.

Evidence is offered to show that he did make such a claim.

The evidence is inadmissible.

(b) A witness is asked whether he was not dismissed from a situation for dishonesty.

He denies it.

Myldence is offered to show that he was dismissed for dishonesty The evidence is not admissible.

(c) A affirms that on a certain day he saw B at Lahore.

A is asked whether he himself was not on that day at Calcutta. He denies ít.

Evidence is offered to show that A was on that day at Calcutta.

The evidence is admissible, not as contradicting A on a fact which affects his credit, but as contradicting the alleged fact that B was seen on the day in question in Labore.

In each of these cases the witness might, if his denial was false, be charged with giving false evidence.

(a) A is asked whether his family has not had a blood fend with the family

of B against whom he gives evidence.

The denies it. He may be commadisted on the ground that the question the denies it. He may be commadisted on the ground that the question tends to impact his importantly.

154 Courties by ready leading witness. The Court may, in its discretion, penalt he partial the fills a witness to prit any questions to him which made he partial the court may the adverse party.

(Chapter X -Of the Examination of Witnessey)

- 155 Impeaching credit of witness—The credit of a witness may be impeached in the following ways by the adverse party, or, with the consent of the Court, by the party who call, him—
- (1) by the evidence of persons who testify that they, from their knowledge of the witness, believe him to be unworthy of ciedit,
- (2) by proof that the witness has been bribed or has [accepted]¹ the offer of a bribe or has received any other corrupt inducement to give his evidence.
- (3) by proof of tormer statements means stent with any part of has wideness which is liable to be contradicted,
- (4) when a man is prosecuted for rape or an attempt to ravish, it may be shown that the presenting was of generally immoral character

Explanation.—A witness declaring another witness to be unworthy of credit may not, upon his evanimation-in-chief, give reasons for his behef, but he may be asked his reasons in cross examination, and the answers which he gives cannot be contradicted, though, if they are false, he may afterwards be charged with giving talse evidence

Illustrations

(a) A sues B for the price of goods sold and delivered to B C says that ${\mathbb A}$ delivered the goods to B

Evidence is offered to show that on a previous occasion, he said that he had not delivered the goods to B

The evidence is admissible

- (b) A is indicated for the murder of B
- C says that B, when dying, declared that A had given B the wound of which he died.

Wound was not given by A or in his presence

The evidence is admissible.

156. Questions tending to corroborate evidence of relevant fact admirable, when a witness whom it is intended to corroborate gives evidence of any relevant fact, he may be questioned as to any other circumstances which he observed at or near to the time or place at which such relevant fact eccurred, if the Court is of opinion that such circumstances if proved, would corroborate the testimony of the witness as to the elevant fact which he testifies

The Law of thinked light

A, an accomplice, gives an account of a robbery in which he took part. He describes various incidents unconnected with the robbery which eccurred on his way to and from the place where it was sommitted.

Independent evidence of these facts may be given in order to corroborate his evidence as to the robbery itself.

1 Subs. by s. 11 of the Indian Evidence Act Amendment Act (18 of 1872).

Chapter X -Of the Examination of Witnesses)

157 Former statements of witness may be proved to corroborate later testing as to same fact—In order to corroborate the testimony of a witness, any former statement made by such witness relating to the same fact at or about the time when the fact took place, or before any authority legally competent to investigate the fact, may be proved

158° What matters may be proved in connection with proved statement relevant under section 32, or 35.—Whenever any statement, relevant under section 32 or 33, is proved, all matters may be proved either in order to contradict or to corroborate it, or in order to impeach or confirm the credit of the person by whom it was made, which might have been proved it that person had been called as a witness and had denied upon cross examination the truth of the matter suggested

159 Refreshing memory—A witnes, may, while under examination, refresh his memory by referring to any writing made by himself at the time of the transaction concerning which he is questioned, or so soon afterwards that the Court considers it likely that the transaction was at that time Iresh in his memory

The witness may also refer to any such writing made by any other person, and read by the witness within the time aforesaid, if when he read it he knew it to be correct

When witness may use copy of document to refresh memory—When ever a witness may refresh his memory by refrence to any document, he may, with the permission of the Court, refer to a copy of such document.

Provided the Court be satisfied that there is sufficient reason for the non-production of the original

An expert may refersh his memory by reference to professional treatises,

160 Testimony to facts stated in-document mentioned in section 159.

A witness may also testify to facts mentioned in any such document as is mentioned in section 159, although he has no specific recollection of the facts themselves, if he is sure that the facts were correctly recorded in the document

Illustration

al pit x

A book-keeper may testify facts recorded by him in books regularly kept in the course of husiness, if he knows that the books were correctly kept, although he has forgotten the particular transactions entered

Any writing referred to under the provisions of the two last preceding sections must be produced and shown to the adverse party if he requires it; such wanty may, if he pleases, cross-examine the witness thereupon.

162 Production of documents.—A witness summoned to produce a document shall, if it is in his possession or power, bring it to Court, notwithstanding any objection which there may be to its production of to its admissibility. The validity of any such objection shall be decided or by the Court.

1 As to the application of s. 161 to police daries, see the Code of Criminal

As to the application of s. 161 to police diaries, see the Code of Crimina Procedure, 1898 (Act 5 of 1898); s. 172.

(Chapter -Of the Erammalion of Witness,

The Court, if it sees fit, may inspect the document, unless it refers to meters of State, or take other evidence to enable it to determine on its admissibility

Translation of decuments - If for such a purpose it is necessary to cause any document to be translated, the Court may, if it thinks fit, direct the translator to keep the contents secret, unless the document is to be given in evidence and, it the interpreter disobeys such direction, he shall be held to have committed an offence under section 166 of the Indian Penal Code (XLIV of 1860)

163 Giving, as evidence, of document called for and produced on notice—When a party calls for a document which he has given the other party notice to produce, and such document is produced and inspected by the party calling for its production, he is bound to give it as evidence if the party producing it requires him to do so

164. Using, as evidence, of document production of which was refused on notice.—When a party refuses to produce a document which he has had notice to produce, he cannot alterwards use the document as evidence without the consent of the other party or the order of the Court

Illustration.

A sues B on an agreement and gives B notice to produce it. At the trial A calls for the document at d B refuses to produce it. A gives secondary evidence of its contents. B seeks to produce the document itself to contradict the secondary evidence given by A, or in order to show that the agreement is not stamped. He cannot do so.

165. Judge's power to put questions or order production—The Judge may, in order to discover or to obtain proper proof of relevant facts, ask any question he pleases, in any form, at any time, of any witness, or of the parties about any fact relevant or irrelevant; and may order the production of any document or thing and neither the parties nor their agents shall be entitled to make any objection to any such question or order nor, without the leave of the Court, to cross-examine any witness upon any answer given in reply to any such question:

Provided that the judgment must be based upon facts declared by the Aut to be relevant, and duly proved.

Provided also that this section shall not authorize any Judge to compel any witness to answer any question or to produce any document which such witness would be entitled to refuse to answer or produce under sections 121 to 131, both inclusive, if the question were asked or the document were called for by the adverse party mor shall the Judge ask any question which it would be improper for any other

(Chapter X.—Of the Ecamination of Witnesses Chapter XI—Of Improper Admission and Rejection of Evidence Schedule)

person to ask under section 148 or 149, nor shall he dispense with primary evidence of any document, except in the cases hereinbefore excepted.

166. Power of jury or assessors to put questions—In eases tried by Jury or with assessors, the jury or assessors may put any questions to the witnesses, through or by leave of the Judge, which the Judge himself might put and which he considers proper

CHAPTER XI-OF IMPROPER Admission and Rejection of Evidence

167. No new trul for improper admission or rejection of evidence—
The improper admission or rejection of evidence shall not be ground of itself for a new trul or reversal of any decision in any case, if it shall appear to the Court before which such objection is raised that, independently of the evidence objected to and admitted, there was sufficient evidence to justify the decision, or that, if the rejected evidence had been received, it ought not to have varied the decision

THE SCHEDULE -[Enactment's Repealed.] Rep by the Repealing Act, 1938 (I of 1938), s 2 and Sch